

Comprehensive assessment of glycosyl residue composition analysis methods

Background

- The effective use of plant biomass for biofuel and bioproduct production requires a comprehensive glycosyl residue composition analysis to understand the different cell wall polysaccharides present in the different biomass sources.
- Four different glycosyl residue composition analysis methods exist, but have never been compared side-by-side to determine the most suitable for cell wall composition analysis.

Approach

- The four methods were investigated for their ability to measure neutral and acidic sugar composition of cell walls: (1) gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) of alditol acetates combined with a total uronic acid assay; (2) carbodiimide reduction of uronic acids followed by GC–MS of alditol acetates; (3) GC–MS of trimethylsilyl (TMS) derivatives; and (4) high-pressure, anion-exchange chromatography (HPAEC).
- Two groups of biomass samples were tested: primary wall-enriched leaf cell walls from *Arabidopsis*, *Populus*, rice, and switchgrass, and secondary wall-enriched cell walls from *Populus* wood, rice stems, and switchgrass tillers.

Outcomes

- The TMS, HPAEC, and carbodiimide methods were shown to provide comparable quantitative data on the nine neutral and acidic sugars present in all plant cell walls.

Significance

- This is the first paper that provides side-by-side comparison of the efficacy of four different established methods in the analysis of glycosyl residue composition of cell walls from both primary wall-enriched leaf tissues and secondary wall-enriched wood/stem tissues of both dicot (*Arabidopsis*, *Populus*) and grass (rice, switchgrass) species.

Sugar composition of three different biomass source

