Insights into lignin primary structure and deconstruction from *Arabidopsis thaliana* COMT (caffeic acid O-methyl transferase) mutant *Atomt1*†
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The *Arabidopsis* mutant *Atomt1* lignin differs from native lignin in wild type plants, in terms of sinapyl (S) alcohol-derived substructures in fiber cell walls being substituted by 5-hydroxyconiferyl alcohol (5OHG)-derived moieties. During programmed lignin assembly, these engender formation of benzodioxane substructures due to intramolecular cyclization of their quinone methides that are transiently formed following 8-O-4' radical-radical coupling. Thioacidolytic cleavage of the 8-O-4' inter-unit linkages in the *Atomt1* mutant, relative to the wild type, indicated that cleavable sinapyl (S) and coniferyl (G) alcohol-derived monomeric moieties were stoichiometrically reduced by a circa 2 : 1 ratio. Additionally, lignin degradative analysis resulted in release of a 5OHG–5OHG–G trimer from the *Atomt1* mutant, which then underwent further cleavage. Significantly, the trimeric moiety released provides new insight into lignin primary structure: during polymer assembly, the first 5OHG moiety is linked via a C8–O–X inter-unit linkage, whereas subsequent addition of monomers apparently involves sequential addition of 5OHG and G moieties to the growing chain in a 2 : 1 overall stoichiometry. This quantification data thus provides further insight into how inter-unit linkage frequencies in native lignins are apparently conserved (or near conserved) during assembly in both instances, as well as providing additional impetus to resolve how the overall question of lignin macromolecular assembly is controlled in terms of both type of monomer addition and primary sequence.

Introduction

The lignin pathway in angiosperms results in formation of the three main monomeric lignin precursors, *p*-coumaryl (1), coniferyl (2) and sinapyl (3) alcohols, which lead to the H (*p*-hydroxyphenyl), G (guaiacyl), and S (syringyl) components of lignins in their vascular cell walls, respectively.1,2 The S-component is, however, mainly, if not exclusively, deposited in fiber cell walls in plant species such as *Arabidopsis*.3 It was initially considered that for formation of the S and G precursors, both methylation steps were catalyzed by a single enzyme, the so-called caffeic acid O-methyl transferase (COMT).4,5 This was due to its ability to methylate both caffeic (5) and 5-hydroxyferulic (6) acids *in vitro* to afford ferulic (12) and sinapic (13) acids, respectively (Fig. 1). Accordingly, COMT was considered to be substrate versatile, and responsible for producing both G and S lignins derived from coniferyl (2) and sinapyl (3) alcohols in angiosperms.4,5

In subsequent pioneering work from the Legrand/Fritig laboratory, various putative COMT isoforms (I, II and III) were purified from tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*)6 and their corresponding cDNAs cloned.7,8 In contrast to long held assumptions of COMT being substrate versatile, down-regulation of COMT I in tobacco clearly established that it was instead involved in the second methylation step leading to sinapyl alcohol (3) and S-moieties of lignin.9 This was conclusively demonstrated by massive reduction of S-lignin deposition in these transformants, as shown by thioacidolysis release of monomers 19 and 21 (S/G ratios of ~1 for wild type (WT) and 0.07–0.09 in most COMT I down-regulated plants), with these resulting from presumed 8–O–4' inter-unit linkage cleavage. There were also no significant differences in estimated Klason lignin contents for WT (~18.4% cell wall residue, CWR) and the COMT down-regulated (~18.3% CWR) lines. Thus, COMT was responsible for the second methylation step and was, therefore, effectively mono-functional in terms of substrate utilized *in vivo*.
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down-regulation of COMT in tobacco using aspen (Populus tremuloides) and alfalfa (Medicago sativa) COMTs, respectively, had no significant effect on the G/S ratios of the lignins. Furthermore, down-regulation of the alfalfa COMT in tobacco gave transformants whose overall putative lignin contents were reportedly reduced by approximately 2/3 relative to WT, whereas the transformants resulting from down-regulation of aspen COMT in tobacco had no apparent changes in lignin contents. However, determinations in the first of these studies were based on the unreliable thioglycolic acid lignin methods (discussed in Anterola and Lewis), as well as in the use of an alkaline nitrobenzene protocol which can release p-hydroxybenzaldehydes (e.g., 22, 23 and 25) and p-hydroxybenzoic acids (e.g., 26, 27 and 29) from various phenylpropanoids, including those from lignins. Both studies had thus incorrectly supported the earlier view that COMT was substrate versatile in vivo.

The pioneering work by Atanassova et al., establishing COMT as involved in the second methylation step in vivo leading to the S-component of its lignin, was later confirmed using poplar, aspen, and alfalfa. Accordingly, confusion over COMT being bi-functional/substrate versatile in vivo for a period encompassing nearly two decades had been unambiguously resolved. Interestingly, other in vitro studies using heterologously expressed COMTs from tobacco, aspen, and alfalfa in Escherichia coli later showed they were also able to methylate caffeic (5)/5-hydroxyferulic (6) acids, caffeoyl (7)/5-hydroxyferuloyl (8) CoAs, caffeoyl (9)/5-hydroxyconiferyl (10) aldehydes or caffeyl (11)/5-hydroxyconiferyl (4) alcohols. This once again illustrates differences that can result between in vitro assays and the much more metabolically relevant biochemical processes in vivo due to substrate/enzyme compartmentalization in the latter.

Earlier studies, undertaken nearly eight decades ago, had also described various brown-midrib (bm1–bm4) mutants of maize (Zea mays) with putative colored lignins in cell walls of leaf midribs and sclerenchyma cells surrounding the vascular bundles of the stems. Of these, the so-called bm1 mutant had a putative lower (“acid detergent”) lignin content as estimated by the potassium permanganate method, as well as lower stalk strength as suggested by 17–26% less crushing strength and 8–14% less stalk-section weight than WT plants. Later, it was reported that when all then known monolignol pathway enzymes were assayed in the bm1 mutant in vitro, apparently only the activity of the COMT purportedly catalyzing methylation of caffeic acid (5) was strongly reduced. Additionally, a later study of the bm1 mutant line by Lapierre et al., employing thioacidolytic treatment of the corresponding stem tissue, resulted in a reportedly reduced level of releasable S-monomers (by a factor of ca. 4.4) relative to WT, together with an unidentified, putative, 5-hydroxyguaiaacyl (5OHG) derived monomeric component (representing ~7% of total monomeric units released). For the WT line, the recovery of monomeric units 18, 19 and 21 relative to estimated Klason lignin content was quite low (~20.2%), with an H:G:S ratio = 1:9.3:16, whereas the yield of estimated monomers 18, 19 and 21 was ~16.1% in the mutant line, with a putative H:G:S:5OHG ratio = 1:18:6:2:1.7. The data also suggested a small reduction in estimated lignin contents (Klason lignin: WT = 15.2%, bm1 = 11.3%), as well as an overall reduction in S-lignin deposition. However, given the variability in the data, the study did not conclusively ascertain that the effect of this mutation was only on S-lignin deposition.

The overall purpose of this investigation was thus to begin to gain urgently needed insight into lignin primary structure using the Arabidopsis COMT1 (At5g54160) knockout mutant line Atomt1. Accordingly, an Atomt1 lignin-derived isolate was subjected to non-exhaustive thioacidolytic cleavage in order to begin to precisely identify the substructure(s) resulting from coupling of the putative 5OHG units in the lignin primary structure, such as benzodioxanes (Fig. 2), and thus the effect on overall lignin polymer assembly (lignin amounts/monomeric compositions). This treatment resulted in release of cleavable benzodioxane substructures, a 5OHG–5OHG–G trimer and a 5OHG–G dimer from the Atomt1 lignin isolate, with the latter presumed generated through cleavage of the corresponding trimer. Significantly, the

\[
\text{Fig. 1 In vivo substrate versatile COMT-catalyzed conversions.}
\]
Fig. 2 Potential 8–O–4′ (30) and benzodioxane (31–34) lignin subunits in Arabidopsis via (a) H, G and S monolignol coupling and (b) H, G and S monolignol coupling as above, but with SOHG for formation of substituted benzodioxanes (31–34).

G unit placement in the trimer/dimer isolated was either that of a terminal unit in this segment of the lignin biopolymer, or perhaps more likely as a SOHG–SOHG–G repeating unit.

This study thus now clarifies further how the programmed lignification response is predictably modulated when COMT is either down-regulated or “knocked-out”, while apparently nearly conserving overall 8–O–4′ inter-unit linkage frequency, as well as that of other inter-unit linkages. This limited substrate degeneracy/polymerization process also helps provide further insight into why, from an evolutionary perspective, this mutation was unfavorable throughout the plant kingdom. Additionally, of the 17 putative COMT multigene members in Arabidopsis, 12 were heterologously expressed in Escherichia coli with only one being able to O-methylate caffeic (5)/5-hydroxyferulic (6) acid, caffeyl (9)/5-hydroxycinnamaldehyde and caffeoyl (11)/5-hydroxyconiferyl (4) alcohol in vitro (Fig. 1). Taken together, these findings place further constraints on lignification in vivo in general, and further underscore the need to develop new methods to fully establish lignin primary structure(s).

Results and discussion

Growth characteristics and quantification of thioacidolytically released lignin-derived monomeric entities

Prior to investigating the nature of the lignin formed in the cell-wall stem tissues of A. thaliana WT (ecotype Wassilewskija) and the corresponding Atomt1 knock-out lines, a comparison of various gross growth characteristics of their developing stems at different time intervals was carried out. Sampling of plant stem tissues was conducted from germination until maturation/senescence, with particular attention being directed to the vascular apparatus. The plots of overall stem lengths and basal stem widths during growth and development until senescence are depicted in Fig. 3. There were no readily visible phenotypical differences noted between both lines (WT and Atomt1) in terms of overall gross growth/development indices of the stems from rosette leaf development stage (3 weeks) until maturation/senescence (10 weeks).

It was next considered instructive to plot the amounts of cleavable lignin 8–O–4′ interunit linkages, in terms of release of their G and S thioacidolysis-derived monomeric moieties 19 and 21, relative to the putative lignin contents of both lines as a function of growth/development. For the WT line, the ▽, ◊ and □ values in Fig. 4a depict the monomeric S, G, and G+S amounts released (μmol g⁻¹ putative AcBr lignin content) at weekly intervals. By contrast, for the Atomt1 line, the
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S-component (▼) was essentially undetectable as anticipated, with the G-amounts released (●) also being ca. 20% lower than that of WT G levels (◇) at maturity. The estimated AcBr lignin contents of stems of both WT and Atomt1 lines from the earliest stages of stem development/elongation (circa 3 weeks) until maturity were also plotted relative to releasable monomeric G and S moieties (Fig. 4b). In the WT line, after circa 6% "AcBr lignin" deposition, there is a linear increase in amounts of G and S monomers (19 and 21) released until maturation (highest lignin content). For the Atomt1 line, there is also a linear increase in releasable G monomers (19) from early stages of stem development until maturation, with this again increasing proportionally relative to putative lignin level. It was useful at this stage of enquiry to attempt to correlate, for each time point, the differences in monomer release between the WT and Atomt1 lines. Provisionally, this corresponded to that of the reductions in both S amount released, as well as the reduction in G values between the WT (G) and Atomt1 (G) lines. For each time point, the reduction in monomer release corresponded to a S : G reduction in a 2 : 1 ratio. When hypothetically factoring in this stoichiometric correction (●, Fig. 4a) for each stage of growth/development, the corre-

These data could thus be hypothetically interpreted as follows: (i) S-hydroxycinnamyl alcohol (4) moieties presumed present in the Atomt1 mutant were, at least in part, covalently linked to adjoining G residues in the benzodioxane substructure(s) of the cell-wall lignin, in the ratio of 2 : 1 (Fig. 4a), and (ii) since overall levels of lignification had not, in fact, been significantly altered in terms of amounts in either line, the overall assembly process had apparently only been modulated due to limited substrate degeneracy during programmed (template) polymerization.26-30

Note also for both lines (Fig. 4b), the plot of monomeric moieties released relative to putative AcBr lignin contents again established that these data points do not intersect at the origin of the X and Y axes, as previously noted.1-3,27,31 In other work, we have demonstrated that some of the putative 'lignin' at the early stages of plant growth and development contain other non-lignin moieties which interfere with these estimations (manuscript in preparation).

NMR spectroscopic analyses of lignin-derived-isolates of WT and Atomt1 lines

In order to examine the possible formation of benzodioxane substructure VI (Fig. 5c) during programmed lignification in the Atomt1 mutant line, the lignin-enriched isolates from the WT and Atomt1 lines were next obtained following published procedures.27 Each was then individually subjected to 13C, 2D heteronuclear multiple quantum coherence (HMQC) and 2D heteronuclear multiple bond coherence (HMBC) NMR spectroscopic analyses. In this regard, analyses of the oxygenated carbon resonances in the aliphatic region (50-90 ppm) confirmed that the lignin-isolates of the WT line (Fig. 5a) contained the five expected lignin substructures I-V, namely: 8–O′-aryl ether (substructure I), resino-like (substructure II), phenylcoumaran (substructure III), dibenzodioxocin (substructure IV) and cinnamyl alcohol end groups (substructure V) (Fig. 5c).

The substructures in the WT lignin-enriched isolates (Fig. 5a) were also individually confirmed by analysis of their characteristic one-bond δc/δh correlations: 60.3/3.19 and 60.3/3.56 (C-9/9-H), 84.1/4.20 (C-8/8-H) and 71.7/4.68 (C-7/7-H) ppm for substructure I; 71.8/3.78 and 71.8/4.11 (C-9/9-H, C-9′/9′-H), 54.3/3.01 (C-8/8-H, C-8′/8′-H) and 85.7/4.59 (C-7/7-H, C-7′/7′-H) ppm for substructure II; 63.4/3.69 and 63.4/3.45 (C-9/9-H), 53.8/3.38 (C-8/8-H) and 87.6/5.42 (C-7/7-H) ppm, for substructure III; 62.3/4.08 and 62.3/3.95 (C-9/9-H), 86.6/4.08 (C-8/8-H) and 84.2/4.69 (C-7/7-H) ppm for substructure IV; as well as 63.1/4.13 (C-9/9-H) ppm for cinnamyl alcohol end groups (V). Other inter-unit linkages, such as 8–1′, diphenyl and/or diphenyl ether bonds, were not further characterized individually as earlier25 due to overlapping resonances, although they are also present. However, there was no detection of any cross-peaks consistent with substructure VI (down to baseline) under the conditions employed for analysis of the WT lignin-isolate.

The carbon–proton correlations in the 2D-HMQC spectra of the lignin-enriched isolates from the Atomt1 mutant line also established the presence of the five expected lignin substructures I–V (Fig. 5b). These were individually detected by their characteristic cross-peak δc/δh correlations as follows: 59.9/3.12 and 59.9/3.47 (C-9/9-H), 84.2/4.19 (C-8/8-H) and 71.2/4.65 (C-7/7-H) ppm for 8–O′-aryl ether substructure I; 71.5/3.65 and 71.5/4.06
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Fig. 5 Partial NMR spectra of lignin-enriched isolates from Arabidopsis WT and Atomt1 lines. 2D HMQC spectra of oxygenated aliphatic region of lignin-enriched isolate from (a) WT and (b) Atomt1. (c) Lignin substructures I–VI assignable by NMR spectroscopic analyses.

(C-9/9-H, C-9'9'-H), 53.4/2.84 (C-8/8-H, C-8'/8'-H) and 85.4.6/4.54 (C-7/7-H, C-7'/7'-H) ppm for resinol-like substructure II; 62.8/3.68 and 62.8/3.39 (C-9/9-H), 52.9/3.32 (C-8/8-H) and 87.5/5.41 (C-7/7-H) ppm for phenylcoumaran substructure III; 61.7/4.03 and 61.7/3.92 (C-9/9-H) and 84.1/4.67 (C-7/7-H) ppm for dibenzodioxocin substructure IV, and 62.6/4.08 (C-9/9-H) ppm for cinnamyl alcohol end groups (V). In contrast to the WT sample, analysis of the 2D HMQC NMR spectrum of the Atomt1 mutant lignin-enriched isolate (Fig. 5b) established the presence of an additional substructure that was characterized by two correlations (δc/δh) at 76.0/4.81 (C-7/7-H) and 78.2/4.0 (C-8/8-H) consistent with benzodioxane substructure VI (Fig. 5c). In addition, the observed long-range HMBC correlation from the 5OHG aromatic proton-2 at δH ~6.80 ppm to the aromatic ring carbon-4 (δC ~133.9 ppm) further extended the correlation from δc ~133.9 ppm across to the ether-linked proton-8 (δH ~4.1 ppm) (data not shown). This established the presence of a 5OHG aromatic ring in the benzodioxane subunit linked via an 8-O-4'/7-O-5' bonding pattern to the aliphatic side-chains of both G, as well as 5OHG–G, units in benzodioxane substructure VI. This, in turn, suggested that the 5OHG moieties were both homo- and hetero-(G) linked in the lignin of the Atomt1 line.
Conservation of 8–O′–4′ inter-unit lignin frequencies in WT and COMT knocked-out/down-regulated lines (Arabidopsis versus alfalfa).

Currently, neither thioacidolysis nor nitrobenzene oxidation degradative analyses of the lignins in either WT or Atomt1 lines can account for the bulk of the putative lignins in these plant samples, since recoveries of monomers relative to overall putative lignin contents are circa 20–40%. Moreover, accurate quantification of benzodioxane substructure (VI) in the lignin of the Atomt1 line is not yet currently achievable using either degradation method (unpublished results). Accordingly, attempts to provisionally estimate the relative overall frequencies of lignin substructures (i.e., I, II, III, IV, V and VI) were next carried out using NMR spectroscopic analyses. These were estimated by measuring the volume integrals in the 2D-HMQC spectra of the lignin-enriched isolates of both WT and Atomt1 mutant lines, as had been previously reported for alfalfa lignin-derived preparations.33 In this way, the NMR spectroscopic analysis of the HMQC cone volumes of C-7/7-H correlations at 71.7/4.68 and 76.2/4.87 ppm, that are characteristic for the 8–O′–4′ (I) and benzodioxane (VI) substructures, respectively, suggested that substructure VI can contribute to ≤25–26% of the total detectable 8–O′–4′ interunit linkages present in the lignin-enriched isolate of the Atomt1 line. Estimated inter-unit-linkage (substructures I, II, III, IV, V and VI) frequencies in the lignin isolates of WT and Atomt1 mutant lines are summarized in Table 1.

Based on this approach, the WT Arabidopsis line provisionally had ~79% of 8–O′–4′ (I), ~7% of resinol-like (II), ~9% of phenylcoumaran (III), ~2% of dibenzodioxocin (IV), ~2% of cinnamyl alcohol end groups (V) and no detectable benzodioxane (VI) inter-unit linkages, whereas the Atomt1 line accounted for ~60% of 8–O′–4′ (I), ~5% of resinol-like (II), ~9% of phenylcoumaran (III), ~4% of dibenzodioxocin (IV), ~3% of cinnamyl alcohol end groups (V) and ~18% of benzodioxane (VI) inter-unit linkages, respectively. The benzodioxane substructure (VI), however, is a chemical variation of the 8–O′–4′ (I) inter-unit linkage. Thus, taken together, the total 8–O′–4′ linkage levels in the Atomt1 line are estimated as ~78%, i.e. the sum of ~60% 8–O′–4′ (I) and ~18% benzodioxane (VI) inter-unit linkages, respectively. This, in turn, suggests that the 8–O′–4′ linkage frequency in the lignin isolates of both the Atomt1 mutant and the WT line was conserved. In addition, the relative ratios and amounts of the other inter-unit linkages detected in this way are provisionally also reasonably well conserved using this approach. However, these data now need to be further verified through other means such as by quantitative degradative analyses.

Furthermore, a re-evaluation of the previously estimated levels of comparable inter-unit linkages in alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.), as reported in an earlier study of COMT down-regulation,33 resulted in our interpretation that the overall 8–O′–4′ inter-unit linkage frequency was also conserved in both WT and COMT alfalfa lines. We deduced, from the previously reported NMR spectroscopic analysis, that the WT line had ~81% of overall inter-unit linkages, whereas the COMT-line was considered to have ~38% of benzodioxane (VI) and ~44% of 8–O′–4′ (I) inter-unit linkages.1 Once again, the combined relative amounts totaled ~82%, indicating that estimated levels of 8–O′–4′ inter unit linkages were conserved in both alfalfa lines. Yet, by contrast, this same alfalfa lignin inter-unit-linkage frequency data and the presence of the benzodioxane subunits was considered as further evidence for random assembly, via a “combinational or combinatorial biochemistry” process.34,35 These same researchers are committed though to a random assembly process, with lignins having up to 100% possible isomers via monolignol-derived polymerization, as well as putatively being able to utilize a wide range of non-monolignol phenolics in cell-wall assembly, etc.35 Additionally, it was also ventured that no two lignin structures are the same within all plant species in the plant kingdom,33 but with no proof for such an assertion.

By contrast, our own consideration of how lignification occurs is diametrically opposite to that above.3,4,36 Firstly, the frequency of interunit linkages for both the Arabidopsis and alfalfa WT and COMT knockout/mutant appears to be quite similar for all linkage types within each plant line, albeit with some small changes (e.g. in resinol (II) levels from 7 to 5% in Arabidopsis and 6 to 3% in alfalfa). Whether such estimated differences are significant, or whether the programmed polymerization template is perturbed because of the presence of benzodioxane substructures, cannot be distinguished at this time. This high level of interunit linkage conservation has occurred even though there are substantial differences in the levels of benzodioxane-linked subunits in both cases (i.e. 18 versus 38% subunits in Arabidopsis and alfalfa, respectively) which could, in part, have also differentially perturbed the proposed template-assisted lignin assembly process.3,2,3.28–30 Yet, while the S:G (cleavable monomer) ratio is quite different in both lines at plant maturity, (i.e. 0.28 and 0.64 for Arabidopsis and alfalfa, respectively), these ratios when divided by the benzodioxane level (as a fraction of 1) give near identical ratios of circa 1.6 and 1.7. Secondly, differences noted in S:G ratios between both plant lines presumably also reflect differences in overall numbers and thickness of S-rich fiber cell types in both species. Taken together, there is thus apparently a remarkably unplastic assembly process during lignification in both Arabidopsis and alfalfa, albeit with substantial differences in numbers of specific cell types that result in the distinct S:G ratios noted. We consider this indicative of a tightly controlled/conserved assembly process in distinct cell types, as we have already reported in other studies at the cell wall specific level.1

### Molecular weight distributions of lignin-isolates

The molecular weight distributions of the lignin-enriched isolates from Atomt1 and WT lines were estimated by gel permeation chromatography. Table 1 shows the distribution of molecular weight in the different lignin fractions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lignin Fraction</th>
<th>Arabidopsis</th>
<th>Alfalfa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low MW</td>
<td>~40%</td>
<td>~60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium MW</td>
<td>~30%</td>
<td>~30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High MW</td>
<td>~30%</td>
<td>~10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is evident from the table that the Arabidopsis line has a broader distribution of molecular weights compared to the alfalfa line.

### Table 1

Provisional relative frequencies (%) of inter-unit linkages by 2D-HMQC NMR analyses in lignin isolates of WT (Arabidopsis and alfalfa) versus the Atomt1 mutant and alfalfa COMT down-regulated lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inter-unit linkage</th>
<th>Arabidopsis</th>
<th>Alfalfa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8–O′–4′ (I)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resinol (II)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenylcoumaran (III)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibenzodioxocin (IV)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA end groups (V)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzodioxane (VI)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The frequencies are calculated as a percentage of the total detectable inter-unit linkages.

* Re-calculated from Marita et al.33
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* Re-calculated from Marita et al.33

---

[Table 1](#) Provisional relative frequencies (%) of inter-unit linkages by 2D-HMQC NMR analyses in lignin isolates of WT (Arabidopsis and alfalfa) versus the Atomt1 mutant and alfalfa COMT down-regulated lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inter-unit linkage</th>
<th>Arabidopsis</th>
<th>Alfalfa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8–O′–4′ (I)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resinol (II)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenylcoumaran (III)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibenzodioxocin (IV)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA end groups (V)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzodioxane (VI)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Re-calculated from Marita et al.33
The crude thioacidolysis reaction mixture was then fractionated using preparative silica gel thin-layer chromatography and reversed-phase HPLC, with the corresponding fractions individually subjected to 1D and 2D HMBC/HSQC NMR spectroscopic analyses to determine which of those contained benzodioxane subunits. Fractions containing the traces of cleaved trimeric 36 and the corresponding dimeric 35 benzodioxane were subjected to mass spectroscopic analyses using LC-APCI-MS. This gave relative molecular masses in the positive ion mode at \( m/z \) 672.9 (\( M + H \)) and 611.1 (\( M + H - 2HSEt \)) (Fig. 7a) and \( m/z \) 478.9 (\( M + H \)) and 417.1 (\( M + H - 2HSEt \)) (Fig. 7b) indicative of the presence of trimer 36 and dimer 35, respectively. This was further confirmed by analysis of three-bond long range HMBC correlations of the isolated fractions. Specifically, the trimer structure 5OHG–5OHG–G (36) was deduced from two distinct sets of three bond correlations, correlating the benzodioxane carbon-7 at \( \delta_C \approx 76.2 \) ppm to the G aromatic ring proton-2 (\( \delta_H \approx 6.18 \) ppm) and 6 (\( \delta_H \approx 6.98 \) ppm), as well as the 5OHG aromatic ring proton-2 (\( \delta_H \approx 6.80 \) ppm) and 6 (\( \delta_H \approx 6.69 \) ppm), respectively. The structure of the 5OHG–G dimer (35) was also deduced from the correlation of proton-7 (\( \delta_H \approx 4.87 \) ppm) of the benzodioxane functionality to carbon-2 (\( \delta_C \approx 111.9 \) ppm) and carbon-6 (\( \delta_C \approx 121.5 \) ppm) of the guaiacyl ring (G) unit, as well as from extended individual cross-peaks that correlated with aromatic protons-2 (\( \delta_H \approx 7.12 \) ppm) and 6 (\( \delta_H \approx 6.98 \) ppm) and aromatic carbon-4 (\( \delta_C \approx 147.9 \) ppm), respectively. That G and 5OHG in 36, as well as G in 35, moieties were individually linked to the 7 and/or 7’ position of the benzodioxane ring was confirmed further from the strong cross-peak correlation of protons-2 and 6 for the respective aromatic ring (G and/or 5OHG) to that of their corresponding carbons-2, 4 and 6 in the respective HMBC spectra. Interestingly, both 1D and 2D NMR spectroscopic analyses of 36 and 35 established that the hydroxyl groups at the 9- and 9’-positions in trimer 36 and the 9-position in dimer 35 were not thioethylated under thioacidolytic reaction conditions. No other related products were detected under the conditions employed.

**Confirmation of lignin subunit structures by total synthesis.** To further unambiguously confirm the structures of the putative thioacidolytically cleaved lignin-derived trimer 36 and dimer 35, a synthetic strategy was next developed, the details of which are summarized in the Experimental section. In this regard, various coupling products were synthesized by modification of an Ag2CO3 oxidation\(^{-}\) in \( \textit{A. thaliana} \) WT and WT lines were relatively resistant to cleavage than the 8-\( \text{o} \)-inter-unit linkage, \( i.e. \) given that monomeric 5OHG moieties were not detected to any significant level in the mutant following thioacidolysis. Therefore, to investigate this question, thioacidolysis was next carried out under conventional conditions\(^{86} \) using the previously discussed Atomt1 lignin-derived-isolate harboring benzodioxane-linked subunits. As anticipated, NMR spectroscopic analysis of the resulting crude thioacidolysis reaction mixture verified the presence of benzodioxane substructures [4.81 (H-7) and 76.0 (C-7)]/4.0 (H-8) and 78.2 (C-8)], \( i.e. \) they had not been (fully) cleaved under these conditions.

![Fig. 6 Molecular weight distribution profiles of the lignin-enriched isolates from Atomt1 (grey) and WT (black) lines.](image)

The isolation of Atomt1 lignin-derived trimer (36) and dimer (35) thioacidolysis derivatives. From the initial thioacidolysis analyses of intact Atomt1 stem tissues described above, it was provisionally considered that the benzodioxane substructure (VI) was more resistant to cleavage than the 8-\( \text{o} \)-inter-unit linkage, \( i.e. \) given that monomeric 5OHG moieties were not detected to any significant level in the mutant following thioacidolysis. Therefore, to investigate this question, thioacidolysis was next carried out under conventional conditions\(^{86} \) using the previously discussed Atomt1 lignin-derived-isolate harboring benzodioxane-linked subunits. As anticipated, NMR spectroscopic analysis of the resulting crude thioacidolysis reaction mixture verified the presence of benzodioxane substructures [4.81 (H-7) and 76.0 (C-7)]/4.0 (H-8) and 78.2 (C-8)], \( i.e. \) they had not been (fully) cleaved under these conditions.

![Diagram of benzodioxane-linked subunits](image)
Fig. 7  Mass spectroscopic analyses of *Atom* lignin-derived trimer 36 and dimer 35 and their synthetic counterparts. (a) Mass spectrum of *Atom* lignin-derived trimer 36; (b) mass spectrum of *Atom* lignin-derived dimer 35; (c) mass spectrum of synthetic trimer 36; and (d) mass spectrum of synthetic dimer 35, and their putative charged fragments.

397.1263), and its LC-APCI-MS analysis gave a (M – H) ion at m/z 373.0 corresponding to molecular formula C_{20}H_{22}O_{7}. The ^1H and ^13C NMR spectra of threo/erythro-32 were also in close agreement with the reported literature data for nocomtol. Furthermore, the threo and erythro diastereoisomers of 32 were individually separated by chiral OJ column and their structures were confirmed by 1D and mass spectral analyses (see Instrumentation and Chromatography Materials section). In an analogous manner, the Ag_{2}CO_{3} oxidation of methyl 5-hydroxyferulate (37) and sinapyl alcohol (3) initially afforded a methyl ester of the threo-5OHG–S benzodioxane dimer 40, which was later reduced with DIBAL to afford the threo-5OHG–S benzodioxane dimer 43 in 85% yield. Specifically, the HRMS spectrum of threo-34 gave a (M + Na) ion at m/z 427.1400, consistent with a molecular formula of C_{21}H_{24}O_{8}Na (calculated mass: 427.1369), whereas LC-APCI-MS analysis of 34 gave a (M – H) ion at m/z 403.0 corresponding to molecular formula C_{21}H_{22}O_{7}. Additionally, the ^1H and ^13C NMR spectra of threo-34 were in close agreement with the reported literature data for nitidanin.40–43

To obtain the 5OHG–5OHG benzodioxane dimer 43, three different synthetic protocols were considered. First, a modified Ag_{2}CO_{3} oxidative coupling of 5-hydroxyconiferyl alcohol (4) directly gave the desired product 43 in its threo form, but in very low yield (~4%). A slightly better yield of product 43 (~10%) was obtained using horseradish peroxidase (HRP), in the presence of H_{2}O_{2}, with the product so obtained in a 1:9 erythro/threo ratio. Interestingly, the erythro/threo product from the HRP/H_{2}O_{2} catalyzed coupling of 5-hydroxyconiferyl alcohol (4) could readily be separated into its threo and erythro diastereoisomers by chiral OJ column chromatography (see Instrumentation and Chromatography Materials section). However, the best overall synthetic procedure involved modified Ag_{2}CO_{3} oxidative coupling of the tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether derivatives of 5-hydroxyconiferyl alcohol (41a/b) with 5-hydroxyferulate methyl ester (37) to afford initially the corresponding benzodioxane esters 42a/b which were then converted to 43 by DIBAL reduction and TBAF deprotection (Scheme 1). The overall yield of this conversion from 38a/b was ~90%, with the product 43 obtained in threo form.

The HRMS spectrum of threo-43 gave a (M + Na) ion at m/z 413.1207, consistent with the molecular formula C_{20}H_{22}O_{6} + Na (calculated mass: 413.1212), with the LC-APCI-MS also giving a (M – H) ion at m/z 388.9. Comparison of the ^1H and ^13C NMR spectra with 5OHG–G diol 32 and 5OHG–S diol 34 also established the presence of an hydroxyl group in compound
threo-43 at the 5-position. That is, the $^1$H NMR spectrum contained two sets of meta-coupled signals as doublets at δ 6.71 (J 1.5, 2'-H), 6.67 (J 1.5, 6'-H) and 6.65 (J 1.5, 2-H)/6.59 (J 2, 6-H) ppm, along with two methoxyl groups at δ 3.85 (s, 3'-OMe) and 3.83 (s, 3-OMe) ppm, indicative of two 5OHG units coupled together. Furthermore, the $^1$H NMR spectrum of threo-43 displayed two trans-olefinic protons as doublets at δ 6.47 (J 16.0, 7'-H), 6.27 (J 5.5 and 15.5, 8'-H) ppm, and a doublet at δ 4.20 (J 5.0, 9'-H) ppm for an allylic alcohol group connected to one of the two 5OHG units. The trans-conformation for threo-43 was also established from analysis of the resonances at δ 4.9 (d, J 8.0, 7-H) and 4.0 (m, 8-H) ppm corresponding to two vicinal aliphatic oxymethines as well as two geminal methylene protons as double doublets at δ 3.78 (J 2.0 and 12.25, 9-H) and 3.52 (J 3.5 and 12.5, 9-H) ppm, respectively. The 5OHG–5OHG diol threo-43 structure was further confirmed by 2D (HMBC, HMQC) NMR spectroscopic analyses. In this regard, the NMR spectra for erythro-43 was also established from analysis of the resonances at δ 4.9 (d, J 8.0, 7-H) and 4.0 (m, 8-H) ppm corresponding to two vicinal aliphatic oxymethines as well as two geminal methylene protons as double doublets at δ 3.78 (J 2.0 and 12.25, 9-H) and 3.52 (J 3.5 and 12.5, 9-H) ppm, respectively. The 5OHG–5OHG diol threo-43 structure was further confirmed by 2D (HMBC, HMQC) NMR spectroscopic analyses. In this regard, the NMR spectra for erythro-43 was also established from analysis of the resonances at δ 4.9 (d, J 8.0, 7-H) and 4.0 (m, 8-H) ppm corresponding to two vicinal aliphatic oxymethine proton resonances at δ 5.2 (d, J 3.0, 7-H) and 4.46 (m, 8-H) ppm as well as the geminal methylene protons 3.62 (br dd, J 5.0, 7.5 and 12.0, 9-H) and 3.49 (dd, J 5.0 and 12.0, 9-H) ppm, respectively. Interestingly, this is the first report of the chemical synthesis of 5OHG–5OHG dimer (43), although it has been reported to occur as a natural product, simplidin (threo configuration), in extracts of Firmiana simplex. In a similar manner, the chemical synthesis of trimer 46 was achieved via modified Ag$_2$CO$_3$ oxidative coupling of methyl ester of 5OHG–5OHG benzodioxane dimer 44 with coniferyl alcohol (2) followed by DIBAL reduction (Scheme 1). The HRMS spectrum of 46 had a (M + Na) ion at m/z 591.1846, consistent with molecular formula C$_{30}$H$_{32}$O$_{11}$ + Na (calculated mass: 591.1842), with the LC-APCI-MS of 46 also giving a (M - H) ion at m/z 567.0 corresponding to molecular formula C$_{30}$H$_{32}$O$_{11}$. Interestingly, its structure closely resembles that of the previously reported benzodioxane/benzodioxane-type sesquiterpenoids, namely isoumeanderol B1-C2, except for the presence of methoxyl groups at the 3, 3' and 3''-positions in 46. Analysis of the $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra of 46 established that it existed as a mixture of diastereoisomers in the ratio of ~1.3:1 (threo/erythro) that could not readily be separated. The $^1$H NMR spectrum of 46
also contained three proton signals at δ 7.12 (dd, J 2.0 and 4.5, 2-H), 6.97 (d, J 8.0, 6-H), 6.88 (d, J 8.0, 5-H) ppm for a G-unit and four proton signals at δ 6.78 (br s, 2'-H), 6.71 (dd, J 2.0 and 4.5, 2'-H), 6.70 (dd, J 2.0 and 4.5, 6'-H) and 6.60 (d, J 2.0, 6'-H) ppm corresponding to two 5OHG units. Additionally, the presence of three methoxy groups at the 3¢, 3¢¢-positions was confirmed from the resonances at δ 3.88 (s, 3-OMe), 3.87 (s, 3¢-OMe) and 3.86 (s, 3¢¢-OMe) ppm, respectively. The 1H NMR spectrum included signals attributable to trans-olefinic protons at δ 6.47 (d, J 16.0, 7'-H), 6.27 (dt, J 5.5 and 16.0, 8'-H) ppm and an hydrogen-methyl proton at δ 4.20 (t, J 5.0, 9'-H) ppm. In addition to these proton resonances, the 1H NMR spectrum of 46 established the presence of two sets of aliphatic AMXY-type signals at δ 4.99 (d, J 8.0, 7-H), 4.97 (d, J 7.5, 7'-H), 4.08 (m, 8-H and 8'-H), 3.82 (dd, J 4.5 and 12.0, 9-H and 9'-H) and 3.54 (dd, J 5.5 and 12.5, 9-H and 9'-H) ppm, respectively. The structure of the 5OHG–5OHG–G benzodioxane trimer 46 was further confirmed by 2D (HMBC, HMQC and HSQC) NMR analyses (data not shown).

**Chiral HPLC analysis for 32, 34, 43 and 46.** Chiral HPLC was then used to establish conditions for baseline separation of the (+)- and (−)-antipodes of the benzodioxane dimers *threo*/*erythro*-5OHG–G (32), *threo*-5OHG–S (34), and *threo*-5OHG–SOHG (43), whereas the SOHG–5OHG–G benzodioxane trimer 46 was only partially separable under the conditions employed (data not shown). The chiral HPLC analysis of the three benzodioxane dimers 32, 34 and 43 established the corresponding (+)- and (−)-antipodes were present in an ~1:1 ratio, respectively.

**Synthesis of lignin-derived thiaoacidolysis products of 35 and 36**

Synthetic dimer 32 and trimer 46 were next subjected to thiaoacidolysis under conventional conditions,38,46 to generate the benzodioxane dimer/trimer thioethylated products 35 and 36, respectively (see Experimental section). In both cases, their structures were unambiguously established using different NMR and mass spectroscopic analyses, with these ultimately demonstrated as being identical to those obtained from the *Atomt1* mutant plant line as indicated below.

Specifically, LC-APCI-MS of synthetic 35 in the positive ion mode gave fragment ion peaks at *m/z* 478.9 (M + H – HSET) and 417.1 (M + H – 2HSET) (Fig. 7d) identical to the isolated 35 (Fig. 7b) corresponding to molecular formula of C$_{33}$H$_{45}$O$_{9}$S, whereas in the negative ion mode it gave a (M – H) ion at *m/z* 538.9. Upon comparison with the SOHG–G benzodioxane diol 32, its 1H NMR spectrum indicated the absence of two trans-olefinic protons at the 7', 8' positions and an allylic hydroxyl group at the 9' position, with the side-chain also being substituted with three thioethyl moieties (multiplets at δ 2.64–2.33 and 1.25–1.10 ppm), respectively. Again, the hydroxyl group at the 9-position was not thioethylated under the reaction conditions employed, as indicated earlier for the isolated dimer 35 and trimer 36. The 1H NMR spectrum of 35 contained five aromatic resonances at δ 7.10 (br s, 2-H), 6.96 (d, J 8.0, 6-H) and 6.88 (dd, J 1.5 and 8.5, 5-H) ppm for the G-unit and δ 6.78 (dd, J 2.0 and 7.0, 2'-H), 6.70 (dd, J 1.5 and 6.5, 6'-H) ppm corresponding to the thrioxyethyldene derivative of the 3OHG unit. Presence of a diastereomeric mixture for 35 in the ratio of ~1:1.7:1 (*threo*/*erythro*) was also evident from the resonances at δ 4.98 (d, J 7.5, 7-H), 4.97 (d, J 8.0, 7-H), 4.08-4.06 (m, 8-H) ppm and 3.79 (br d, J 2.5 and 12.5, 9-H), 3.50 (br d, J 3.5 and 12.0, 9-H) ppm, respectively.

LC-APCI-MS of synthetic 36 in the positive ion mode (Fig. 7c) gave fragment ions very similar to the isolated 36 (Fig. 7a) at *m/z* 672.9 (M + H – HSET) and 611.1 (M + H – 2HSET) corresponding to the molecular formula C$_{36}$H$_{46}$O$_{10}$S$_{3}$, as well as a (M – H) ion at *m/z* 733.1. The 1H NMR spectrum of 36, upon comparison with the 5OHG–SOHG–G triol 46, once again demonstrated the absence of two trans-olefinic protons at the 7', 8' positions and an hydroxyl group at the 9' position. As for compound 35, these positions were substituted with three thioethyl moieties as indicated by multiplets at δ 2.63-2.33 and 1.24-1.09 ppm, respectively. Interestingly, the hydroxyl groups at both 9 and 9'-positions were again not thioethylated. The 1H NMR spectrum of 36 also contained seven aromatic resonances at δ 7.12 (dd, J 1.5 and 5.5, 2-H), 6.97 (dd, J 1.5 and 8.0, 6-H) and 6.86 (dd, J 1.5 and 8.0, 5-H) ppm for the G-unit and δ 6.77 (br s, 2'-H and 6'-H), 6.719 (dd, J 1.5 and 2.0, 2'-H) and 6.717 (dd, J 2.0, 6'-H) ppm corresponding to the thrioxyethyldene derivative of two 5OHG units, respectively. The presence of a diastereomeric mixture of 36 in the ratio of ~1:3:1 (*threo*/*erythro*) was also evident from the resonances at δ 5.0 (d, J 8.0, 7-H), 4.97 (d, J 7.5, 7'-H), 4.08 (m, 8-H, 8'-H) ppm and 3.81 (m, 9-H and 9'-H), 3.54 (m, 9-H and 9'-H) ppm. In this way, the *Atomt1* lignin-derived thiaoacidolysis products 35 and 36 were fully identified.

**Time-course of thiaoacidolysis of 5OHG–G benzodioxane dimer (32) and 5OHG–SOHG–G benzodioxane trimer (46)**

It was next instructive to examine the time course of thiaoacidolytic conversion of the corresponding lignin model compounds 32 and 46, and the product distribution and yield (%) at different time points during treatment, taking into account that they contain a 7'–8' unsaturated side-chain. Of particular interest was whether the 5OHG–SOHG subunit or the 5OHG–G subunit in trimer 46 underwent preferential cleavage, as this could provide useful insight into the nature of the chemistry of the *Atomt1* lignin biopolymer. In this regard, each model compound 32 and 46 was subjected to thiaoacidolysis for periods ranging from 0 to 5 h duration, with the various products quantified as shown in Fig. 8.

**Monothioethylation.** Prior to beginning the time course under standard thiaoacidolysis conditions, the 5OHG–G benzodioxane dimer 32 (3.1 µmol) was subjected to thiaoacidolysis at room temperature. Within 1 min of reaction time, the bulk of the starting material was converted into mono-substituted derivative 47 (1.11 µmol, ~34%). The LC-APCI-MS of 47 gave a (M – H) ion at *m/z* 417.0 corresponding to the molecular formula of C$_{33}$H$_{45}$O$_{9}$S, whereas its 1H NMR spectrum upon comparison with the 5OHG–G benzodioxane diol 32 established the presence of trans-olefinic protons at 7', 8' positions and the absence of an hydroxyl group at the 9' position which was instead substituted with a thioethyl moiety. The 1H NMR spectrum of 47 also displayed five aromatic resonances at δ 7.11 (d, J 2.0, 2'-H), 6.96 (dd, J 2.0 and 8.0, 6'-H) and 6.88 (d, J 8.0, 5-H) ppm for the G-unit and δ 6.72 (d, J 1.5, 2'-H), 6.60 (d, J 1.5, 6'-H) ppm corresponding to the monothioethylated derivative of the 5OHG unit, respectively. The presence of a benzodioxane substructure was evident from resonances in a trans-conformation at δ 4.98 (d, J 8.0, 7-H) and 4.0 (m, 8-H) ppm, corresponding
to two vicinal aliphatic oxymethines and two geminal methylene protons at $\delta$ 3.78 and 3.50 (m, 9-H) ppm. Presence of two trans-olefinic protons was noted as doublets at $\delta$ 6.39 (d, $J$ 15.5, 7'-H), 6.12 (dt, $J$ 7.5 and 16.0, 8'-H) ppm, as well as a doublet at $\delta$ 3.30 (d, $J$ 7.5) ppm for the 9'-H proton and a monothioethyl group from the proton resonances at $\delta$ 2.49 (q, $J$ 7.5)/1.21 (t, $J$ 6.5 and 7.5) ppm, respectively, for the 10' and 11'-protons connected to a 5OHG unit. The two methoxyl groups resonated at $\delta$ 3.87 (s, 3'-OMe) and 3.86 (s, 3'-OMe) ppm.

Thioacidolytic treatment of synthetic 5OHG–G benzoxodiane dimer 32. After 1 min at elevated temperature and pressure (i.e. conventional thioacidolytic conditions), circa 85% of starting dimer 32 was consumed, with concomitant generation of monosubstituted derivative 47 (1.11 $\mu$mol, ~34%), based upon direct UPLC analysis and quantification of the reaction mixture. Within 1 h, the mono-substituted product 47 reached basal levels and remained essentially constant thereafter (Fig. 8a). On the other hand, dimer 35 increased in amount to its highest level (1.05 $\mu$mol, ~34%) by 1 h, with this then steadily decreasing until reaching a plateau level (0.44 $\mu$mol, ~14%) by 5 h. By contrast, formation of the monomeric G-unit (19) increased steadily until it also began to attain a plateau level (1.38 $\mu$mol, ~44.6%) by 5 h. The corresponding 5OHG thio-derivative 20, on the other hand, was only detected in trace amounts (data not shown). Thus, taken together, thioacidolysis of dimer 32 resulted in an incomplete conversion of starting material to afford a mixture of products, and in so doing providing some insight into the dynamics which may also occur during lignin polymer thioacidolysis/deconstruction.

Thioacidolytic treatment of synthetic 5OHG–5OHG–G benzodioxane trimer 46. After thioacidolytic treatment of trimer 46 (2.71 $\mu$mol) for one minute only, the corresponding monothioethylated product 48 was formed (but not quantified) with only ~28% of trimer 46 remaining (Fig. 8b). Within 1 h, however, trimer 36 was mainly detected (1.58 $\mu$mol, 58.5%) together with smaller amounts of the monomeric G-unit 19 (0.45 $\mu$mol, ~16.5%) and traces of the 5OHG–G dimer 35. The trimer 36 levels then began to decrease over a period of 5 h (to ~0.27 $\mu$mol, ~9.9%), with the 5OHG–G dimer 35 concomitantly increasing in amounts (0.51 $\mu$mol, ~19%). As before, the amounts of the G-monomer 19 increased until reaching a plateau level at 3-4 h (1.04 $\mu$mol, ~38.4%). Under the reaction conditions employed, neither the monomer 5OHG (20) nor the 5OHG–5OHG benzodioxane dimer 49 were detected. Thus, thioacidolysis of synthetic trimer 46 resulted only in incomplete conversion into products 19 and 35.

Although thioacidolysis of the corresponding model compounds 32 and 46 currently imposes an analytical limitation in the overall quantification of the tri-substituted derived 5OHG unit (20), it interestingly provides evidence for differential (selective) cleavage of the benzodioxane functionality within the 5OHG–5OHG–G benzodioxane trimer 46 subunit, i.e. thereby providing evidence for the release of the corresponding 5OHG–G subunit (instead of the 5OHG–5OHG subunit). This result is thus in agreement with the thioacidolysis of the Atom1 lignin-derived-isolate, which accounted for isolation of compounds 19 (monomer), 35 (dimer) and 36 (trimer), and could be considered exclusively derived from 5OHG–5OHG–G trimer 46. Thus, it can be provisionally concluded that a 5OHG–5OHG–G unit corresponds to the benzodioxane substructure (Fig. 9) in the lignin macromolecular assembly of the Atom1 mutant line, which upon deconstruction released quantifiable amounts of derived 5OHG–G benzodioxane dimer (32) and 5OHG–5OHG–G benzodioxane trimer (46), respectively. How this reflects the actual lignin deconstruction is not completely known at this point, i.e. given that the side-chain at C7–C8 is not substituted as is mainly the case for lignin in situ.

Arabidopsis COMT gene cloning, heterologous expression, purification and kinetic analyses

Analysis of the Arabidopsis genome indicated that 17 genes were annotated as putative COMTs. Of these Arabidopsis homologues,
Table 2 Kinetic parameters of AtCOMT1 with various phenylpropanoids and quercetin (50)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrates</th>
<th>$K_{\text{m}}$/μM</th>
<th>$V_{\text{max}}$/pkat μg⁻¹ protein</th>
<th>$k_{\text{cat}}$/s⁻¹</th>
<th>$K_{\text{m}}$/M⁻¹ s⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caffeic acid (5)</td>
<td>24.2 ± 3.5</td>
<td>14.6 ± 1.3</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>26 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-OH Ferulic acid (6)</td>
<td>32.0 ± 6.9</td>
<td>30.1 ± 4.3</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>40 600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caffeyl aldehyde (9)</td>
<td>19.7 ± 10.8</td>
<td>35.9 ± 10.3</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>78 700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-OH coniferyl aldehyde (10)</td>
<td>17.9 ± 9.6</td>
<td>66.2 ± 17.1</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>29 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caffeoyl alcohol (11)</td>
<td>51.5 ± 15.8</td>
<td>35.3 ± 5.6</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>159 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-OH coniferyl alcohol (4)</td>
<td>31.6 ± 8.4</td>
<td>51.9 ± 8.2</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>70 600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercetin (50)</td>
<td>23.7 ± 19.3</td>
<td>3.8 ± 2.4</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>6800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AtCOMT1 has the highest similarity (78.8%) and identity (75.5%) to COMT1 from tobacco, with the other homologues showing ~62–42% similarity and 54–33% identity to AtCOMT1. Twelve of these 17 COMTs were cloned (AtCOMT1, 3, 4, 6, 8–13, 15 and 17), with the corresponding recombinant proteins expressed in E. coli, purified to homogeneity by affinity chromatography and biochemically characterized. Only AtCOMT1 displayed COMT activity proper in vitro: specifically, it had a very broad substrate specificity for a wide range of potential substrates (Table 2), with caffeoyl (9) and 5-OH coniferyl (10) aldehydes being the preferred substrates, having $K_{\text{m}}$ values of 78 700 and 159 000 M⁻¹ s⁻¹, respectively. Interestingly, AtCOMT1 was also previously described as involved in quercetin (50) to isorhamnetin (51) formation. However, the kinetic data in vitro suggest that quercetin (50) is a much poorer substrate by at least an order of magnitude (i.e. $K_{\text{m}} = 6900$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ for 50 versus 159 000 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ for 10). The remaining other 11 putative COMTs, all obtained in soluble recombinant protein forms, were unable to methylate any of the potential substrates used.

Conclusions

Lignin primary structure in COMT mutated lines produces benzodioxane substructures that are readily cleavable as 5OHG–G (35) and 5OHG–5OHG–G (36) deconstruction components, albeit where the overall 8–O–4′ inter-unit linkage frequency in the Atom1 knockout line has apparently been conserved relative to WT. Deconstruction and identification of the released subunits provide further support to lignin macromolecular assembly in Arabidopsis being conserved in a highly programmed (template guided) manner, with 5OHG units provisionally being 8–O–4′ linked to the primary lignin polymer via either one or two 5OHG units capped by a G-unit. It is significant that G-moieties apparently serve as either terminal units in the benzodioxane substructures of the polymer or as end-groups, i.e. rather than the previously contemplated/speculated benzodioxane substructures linked n-fold.

Experimental

Instrumentation and chromatography materials

All solvents and chemicals used were either reagent or HPLC grade and purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), unless otherwise stated. Chemical reactions were carried out under anhydrous conditions using dry freshly distilled solvents in an argon atmosphere. Silica gel thin-layer chromatography (TLC) employed Partisil® PK5F (Whatman, 20 × 20 cm, 1 mm, 150 Å) and AL SIL G/UV254 (Whatman, 20 cm, 0.25 mm) whereas silica gel column chromatography (CC) utilized silica gel 60 (EM Science). UV and a 10% H2SO4 spray followed by heating were employed for TLC plate visualization. High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analyses were performed using an Alliance 2690 system (Waters, Milford, MA) equipped with an UV-Vis diode array detector. Reversed-phase separations were carried out with a SymmetryShield RP18 column (150 × 3.9 mm, Waters) with detection at 280 nm. Elution conditions at a flow rate of 1 cm³ min⁻¹ were: linear gradients of CH₃CN–H₂O (Optima LC/MS, Fischer Scientific) from 5 : 95 to 40 : 60 in 5 min, then to 80 : 20 in 40 min, to 95 : 5 in 2 min with this composition held for an additional 2 min, to 95 : 5 in 2 min, and finally to 5 : 95 in 2 min with this being held for 5 min. Chiral HPLC separations for determining enantiomeric compositions of diastereoisomers 32, 34, 43 and 46 employed a Chiralcel OJ column (250 × 4.6 mm, Chiral Technologies, Inc.) eluted with hexanes–EtOH (7 : 3) at a flow rate of 0.5 cm³ min⁻¹ for 32/34/43 and 0.3 cm³ min⁻¹ for 46. Eluant was monitored at 280 nm, as well as with an in-line Advanced Laser Polarimeter detector (PDR-Chiral, Lake Park, Florida, USA, cell volume 56 μl, length 5.17 cm). Optical rotations were measured with a JASCO DIP-181 digital polarimeter at 20 °C (Hg-D line, 546 nm) using a quartz cell (length: 5 cm, volume 1 cm³), with values given in 10⁻⁴ deg cm² g⁻¹.

NMR spectra were recorded on Varian Mercury-Vx 300, Inova 500 and Varian 600 MHz spectrometers operating at 300.1, 499.85 and 599.69 MHz (¹H), and at 75.5, 125.67 and 150.8 MHz (¹C), respectively, with $J$ values given in Hz.
chromatography-mass spectrometry with an atmospheric pressure chemical ionization interface system (LC-APCI-MS) was performed using a Finnigan MAT (San Jose, CA, USA) ion trap mass spectrometer equipped with a Finnigan electrospray interface, with liquid chromatographic separations carried out on an ACQUITY Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatograph® (UPLC, Waters) system using a BEH C18 column (Waters, 2.1 x 50 mm) eluted as follows: flow rate of 0.3 cm³ min⁻¹; linear gradients of CH₃CN-3% (v/v) AcOH in H₂O from 10:90 to 45:55 in 1.5 min, then to 60:40 in 5 min, to 80:20 in 2 min with this composition held for an additional 1 min, then to 100:0 for 1 min and finally to 10:90 in 0.5 min with this being held for 4 min. High resolution mass spectrometric analyses (HRMS) were performed at the University of Mississippi using an Agilent HPLC 1100 series equipped with a diode array detector and mass detector in series (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA). The mass detector was a time of flight (Model G1969A) equipped with an electrospray ionization interface controlled by Agilent software (Agilent MassHunter Work Station, A.02.01). Data acquisition and processing were done using the AnalystTM QS software (Agilent MassHunter Work Station, A.02.01). All acquisitions were performed under negative ionization mode with a capillary voltage of 3000 V. Nitrogen was used as the nebulizer gas (30 psi) as well as the drying gas at 11 m³ min⁻¹ at a temperature of 350 °C. The voltage of the PRT, fragmentor and skimmer was set at 850, 175 and 60 V, respectively. Full scan mass spectra were acquired from m/z 100–1300.

Plant material and growth parameters

Seeds from the AtomT1 knockout mutant line, provided by Dr. Lise Jouanin [Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA), Versailles, France], were first grown on MS medium containing kanamycin (50 μg cm⁻²) in greenhouses as previously described and transferred to soil and grown in Washington State University greenhouses as previously described till maturation with the seeds next harvested. These were then subject to another round of kanamycin screening with seedlings also subjected to GUS screening for specific lignin type i.e. H, G or S-enriched.

Lignin analysis: acetyl bromide, alkaline nitrobenzene oxidation and thiocloridolysis estimations

Extractive-free cell wall residues (CWR) were prepared as previously described, with lignin contents next estimated by the acetyl bromide method using modified absorptivity values for specific lignin type i.e. H, G or S-enriched. Monomeric compositions were estimated using both alkaline nitrobenzene oxidation and thiocloridolysis methods, with all products analyzed using an HP 6890 Series GC System equipped with a RESTEK-5Sil-MS (30 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25 μm) column. Both alkaline nitrobenzene oxidation and thiocloridolysis products were identified by comparison/calibration against authentic silylated standards and by mass spectrometric analyses using a HP 5973 MS detector (EI mode, 70 eV).

Chemical syntheses

Coniferyl (2), sinapyl (3) and 5-hydroxyconiferyl (4) alcohols, 5-hydroxyferulic acid (6), caffeyl (9) and 5-hydroxyconiferyl (10) aldehydes, methyl 5-hydroxyferulate (37) and methyl O-tert-butylidimethylsilyl ferulate (38a/b). These were synthesized as previously described in Kim et al.²¹

O-tert-Butylidimethylsilyl coniferyl alcohols (41a/b). To an ice-cold solution of 38a/b (2 g, 5.9 mmol) in dry toluene (40 cm³) was added 1 M diisobutylaluminium hydride (DIBAL) in toluene (18 cm³, 17.7 mmol). After stirring the contents for 1 h, excess DIBAL was destroyed by drop-wise addition of MeOH (10 cm³). The reaction mixture was then extracted with EtOAc (2 x 300 cm³), washed with brine (1 x 100 cm³) and dried (Na₂SO₄). Following evaporation of the EtOAc solubes to dryness in vacuo, the residue so obtained was subjected to silica gel CC eluted with hexanes–EtOAc (4:1) to afford a mixture of O-tert-butylidimethylsilyl coniferyl alcohols (41a/b) which was used without further purification (2.5:1 ratio, 1.7 g, 5.4 mmol, 90%). Δ₆(500 MHz; acetone-d₆, MeSi) 7.45 (1 H, ArOH, 41a), 7.21 (1 H, ArOH, 41b), 6.73 (1 H, d, J 1.5, 2-H, 41a), 6.61 (1 H, dd, J 1.5 and 4.0, 2-H, 41b), 6.60 (1 H, dd, J 1.5 and 4.0, 6-H, 41b), 6.58 (1 H, d, J 2.0, 6-H, 41a), 6.45 (1 H, d, J 16.0, 7-H, 41a/b), 6.2 (1 H, dt, J 5.5 and 16.0, 8-H, 41a/b), 4.19 (2 H, br d, J 5.0, 9-H, 41a/b), 3.85 (3 H, s, 3-OMe, 41a), 3.82 (3 H, s, 3-OMe, 41b), 1.0 (18 H, s, 2 x 3Me-TBS, 41a/b), 0.6 (2 H, s, 2Me-TBS, 41a), 0.17 (6 H, s, 2Me-TBS, 41b), 3.12 (125 MHz; acetone-d₆, MeSi) 152.38, 149.52, 148.32, 149.52, 149.39, 144.17, 138.17, 133.12, 131.35, 130.40 (C=7, 41b), 130.33 (C=7, 41a), 129.17, 129.07, 128.44, 113.16 (C=6, 41a), 107.9 (C=2, 41b), 103.9 (C=2, 41a), 102.6 (2-H, 41b), 63.37 (C=9, 41b), 63.30 (C=9, 41a), 56.44 (3-C-OMe, 41b), 55.74 (3-C-OMe, 41a), 26.30 (C=3-Me-TBS, 41a), 26.17 (C=3-Me-TBS, 41b), 19.3, 19.0, -4.12, -4.21; m/z (LC-APCI) 309.2 (M – H, C₅H₇O₃Si requires 309.2) (I₉ₕ = 4.3 min).

threo/erythro-5OHG-G benzodioxane dimer (32). To a mixture of methyl 5-hydroxyferulate (37, 0.5 g, 2.23 mmol) and coniferyl alcohol (2, 0.4 g, 2.22 mmol) in benzene-acetone (2:1, 60 cm³) was added Ag₂CO₃ (1.5 g, 5.45 mmol). The contents were then stirred at room temperature under N₂ for about 11 h. After completion of the reaction, as monitored by TLC (hexanes–EtOAc 3:7), the Ag₂CO₃ was removed by filtration through a Celite pad with the latter washed with acetone (20 cm³). The resulting filtrate was evaporated to dryness in vacuo, with the residue purified further by silica gel CC using hexanes–EtOAc (3:7) as eluant to afford threo/erythro-5OHG-G benzodioxane methyl ester (∼9:1 ratio) [(E)-methyl 3-(3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-2-(hydroxymethyl)-8-methoxy-2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6-yl)acrylate] which was used without further purification (39, 0.55 g, 1.36 mmol, 61%). Δ₆(500 MHz; acetone-d₆, MeSi) 7.8 (1 H, br s, ArOH), 7.55 (1 H, d, J 16.0, H-7), 7.12 (1 H, d, J 2.0, 2-H), 6.98 (1 H, dd, J 2.0 and 8.0, 6-H), 6.96 (1 H, d, J 2.0, 2’-H), 6.89 (1 H, d, J 8.5, 5-H), 6.88 (1 H, d, J 1.5, 6’-H), 6.43 (1 H, d, J 16.0, 8’-H), 5.3 (1 H, d, J 2.5, 7-H₂, 5.0 (1 H, d, J 8.0, 7-H₂), 4.12 (1 H, m, 8-H), 3.90 (3 H, s, 3-OMe), 3.87 (3 H, s, 3’-OMe), 3.81 (1 H, br d,
(1 H, d, J = 2.0, 6′-H), 6.88 (1 H, d, J = 1.8, 8′-H), 6.82 (2 H, s, 2-H and
6-H), 6.43 (1 H, d, J = 16.2, 8′-H), 4.97 (1 H, d, J = 7.8, 7′H), 4.1 (1 H, m, 8-H), 3.91 (6 H, s, 3-OMe), 3.84 (3 H, s, 3-Ome, 5-Ome), 3.78 (1 H, dd, J = 2.4 and 12.6, 9′-H), 3.72 (3 H, s, COOme), 3.52 (1 H, dd, J = 4.2 and 12.6, 9-H); δ5 (150 MHz: acetone-d6, and 3 drops CD2OD); 167.9 (C-9′, COOme), 150.4 (C-3′), 148.3 (C-5′), 145.6 (C-7′), 136.8 (C-4′), 129.0 (C-1′), 127.5 (C-1), 121.68 (C-6′), 116.8 (C-8′), 115.8 (C-5), 112.0 (C-2), 111.29 (C-6′), 105.1 (C-2′), 79.7 (C-8), 77.0 (C-7′), 61.74 (C-9′), 56.5 (C-3-Ome), 56.4 (C-3′-Ome), 51.6 (CO-Ome);

m/z (LC-APCI) 401.2 (M – H, C52H36O5 requires 401.1) (tR = 2.2 min).

To a solution of three/off-thre0-39 (0.50 g, 1.2 mmol) in toluene (20 cm3) was added DIBAL (1 M in toluene, 4 cm3) at 0 °C under N2 for about 1 h, with the excess DIBAL destroyed by adding MeOH (4 cm3) dropwise. The resulting suspension was then extracted with excess EtOAc (2 × 200 cm3), washed with dil. HCl solution (1 × 100 cm3), brine (1 × 100 cm3) and dried (Na2SO4).

The combined EtOAc solvents were then evaporated in vacuo using silica gel CC with EtOAc-acetone (9:1) as eluant to afford three/off-thre0-39 – C GO benzoxadione dimer (32) in –8:1 ratio (0.936 g, 1.05 mmol, 88%). The 1H and 13C NMR spectra of three/off-thre0-39 – C GO benzoxadione dimer (32) were in close agreement with the reported literature data.1632 m/z (LC-APCI) 373.0 (M – H, C52H36O5 requires 373.1, (tR = 2.05 min); HRMS (EI) 373.1259 (M + Na, C52H36O5Na requires 373.1263).

Chiral HPLC separation of three/off-thre0-39 – C GO benzoxadione dimer (32) was achieved as described above in the ‘Instrumentation and Chromatography Materials' section after the separation of three/off-thre0-39 – C GO benzoxadione dimer (32) tR = 46.4 min; [a]23 0.00149 in MeOH]; (+)-three/off-thre0-39 – C GO benzoxadione dimer (32) tR = 57.7 min; [a]23 8.83 × 10−3 in MeOH] and (–)-three/off-thre0-39 – C GO benzoxadione dimer (32) tR = 75.1 min. The 1H NMR and mass spectra of (+)- and (–)-three/off-thre0-39 – C GO benzoxadione dimer (32) were identical with (+)- and (–)-three/off-thre0-39 – C GO benzoxadione dimer (32).

Three/off-thre0-39 – C GO benzoxadione dimer (34). The three/off-thre0-39 – C GO benzoxadione dimer (34) was prepared exactly as for compound 32 using the Ag2CO3 (0.7 g, 2.52 mmol) coupling of methyl 5-hydroxy ferulate (37, 0.25 g, 1.11 mmol) and sinapyl alcohol (3, 0.2 g, 0.95 mmol) to initially afford the methyl ester of the 5OHG–5OHG benzodioxane dimer (40, 0.26 g, 0.6 mmol, 63%). The latter (0.20 g, 0.46 mmol) was then reduced and purified as described above for compound 39 by addition of DIBAL (1 M in toluene, 1.5 cm3) to afford the three/off-thre0-39 – C GO benzoxadione dimer (34, 0.16 g, 0.39 mmol, 85%).

Three/off-thre0-39 – C GO benzoxadione methyl ester ([E]-methyl 3-(3-(4-hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-(hydroxymethyl)-8-methoxy-2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6-yl)iacrylate) (40). δ5 (600 MHz; acetone-d6, and 3 drops CD2OD); 7.55 (1 H, d, J = 16.2, 7′-H), 6.98 (1 H, d, J = 1.8, 2′-H), 6.88 (1 H, d, J = 1.8, 6′-H), 6.82 (2 H, s, 2-H and 6-H), 6.43 (1 H, d, J = 16.2, 8′-H), 4.97 (1 H, d, J = 7.8, 7′H), 4.1 (1 H, m, 8-H), 3.91 (6 H, s, 3-Ome), 3.84 (3 H, s, 3-Ome, 5-Ome), 3.78 (1 H, dd, J = 2.4 and 12.6, 9′-H), 3.72 (3 H, s, COOme), 3.52 (1 H, dd, J = 4.2 and 12.6, 9-H); δ5 (150 MHz: acetone-d6, and 3 drops CD2OD); 167.9 (C-9′, COOme), 150.4 (C-3′), 148.3 (C-5′), 145.6 (C-7′), 136.8 (C-4′), 129.0 (C-1′), 127.5 (C-1), 121.68 (C-6′), 116.8 (C-8′), 115.8 (C-5), 112.0 (C-2), 111.29 (C-6′), 105.1 (C-2′), 79.7 (C-8), 77.0 (C-7′), 61.74 (C-9′), 56.5 (C-3-Ome), 56.4 (C-3′-Ome), 51.6 (CO-Ome);

m/z (LC-APCI) 401.2 (M – H, C52H36O5 requires 401.1) (tR = 2.2 min).

The 1H and 13C NMR spectra of (±)-three/off-thre0-39 – C GO benzoxadione dimer (34) were in close agreement with the reported literature data.1632 m/z (LC-APCI) 373.0 (M – H, C52H36O5 requires 373.1, (tR = 2.05 min); HRMS (EI) 373.1259 (M + Na, C52H36O5Na requires 373.1263).

Chiral HPLC separation of (±)-three/off-thre0-39 – C GO benzoxadione dimer (34) was achieved as described above in the ‘Instrumentation and Chromatography Materials' section after the separation of (±)-three/off-thre0-39 – C GO benzoxadione dimer (34) tR = 46.4 min; [a]23 0.00149 in MeOH]; (+)-three/off-thre0-39 – C GO benzoxadione dimer (34) tR = 57.7 min; [a]23 8.83 × 10−3 in MeOH] and (–)-three/off-thre0-39 – C GO benzoxadione dimer (34) tR = 75.1 min. The 1H NMR and mass spectra of (+)- and (–)-three/off-thre0-39 – C GO benzoxadione dimer (34) were identical with (+)- and (–)-three/off-thre0-39 – C GO benzoxadione dimer (34).

Three/off-thre0-39 – C GO benzoxadione dimer (34). The three/off-thre0-39 – C GO benzoxadione dimer (34) was prepared exactly as for compound 32 using the Ag2CO3 (0.7 g, 2.52 mmol) coupling of methyl 5-hydroxy ferulate (37, 0.25 g, 1.11 mmol) and sinapyl alcohol (3, 0.2 g, 0.95 mmol) to initially afford the methyl ester of the 5OHG–5OHG benzodioxane dimer (40, 0.26 g, 0.6 mmol, 63%). The latter (0.20 g, 0.46 mmol) was then reduced and purified as described above for compound 39 by addition of DIBAL (1 M in toluene, 1.5 cm3) to afford the three/off-thre0-39 – C GO benzoxadione dimer (34, 0.16 g, 0.39 mmol, 85%).

Three/off-thre0-39 – C GO benzoxadione methyl ester ([E]-methyl 3-(3-(4-hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-(hydroxymethyl)-8-methoxy-2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6-yl)iacrylate) (40). δ5 (600 MHz; acetone-d6, and 3 drops CD2OD); 7.55 (1 H, d, J = 16.2, 7′-H), 6.98
and Chromatography Materials section) resulted in the separation of (−)-threo-43 (tR = 84.2 min; [α]D 25° +4.7 (c 0.00234 in MeOH)) and (+)-threo-43 (tR = 115.1 min; [α]D 25° +4.63 (c 0.00216 in MeOH)). The 1H NMR and mass spectra of (+)-threo-43 and (−)-threo-43 were identical with (±)-threo-43.

**Protocol 2.** To a solution of 5-hydroxyconiferyl alcohol (4, 53 mg, 0.27 mmol) in benzene and acetone (2:1, 15 cm3) was added Ag2CO3 (90 mg, 0.32 mmol), with the suspension then stirred at room temperature under N2 for 3 h. The contents were next filtered over a Celite pad, washed with acetone (20 cm3), and dried in vacuo. The residue so obtained was subjected to preparative silica gel TLC (eluant, CHCl3–MeOH, 9:1) to afford (±)-threo-5OHG–5OHG–SOHG (43) (4.5 mg, 0.011 mmol, 4.1%). The 1H and 13C NMR spectra of (±)-threo-43 were identical to that described in Protocol 1; m/z (LC-APCI) 388.9 (M − H. C20H21O8 requires 389.1) (tR = 2.4 min). Chiral HPLC analysis: (−)-threo-43: tR = 84.0 and (+)-threo-43: tR = 114.5 min.

**Protocol 3: enzymatic synthesis.** To 5-hydroxyconiferyl alcohol (4, 55 mg, 0.28 mmol) was added acetone (20 cm3) and 0.2 M citric acid/phosphate buffer (pH 6.0, 5 cm3), with the contents cooled to 0°C. Two drops of 30% H2O2, and 7.3 mg of horseradish peroxidase type (II) (1100 units mg−1) in H2O (3 cm3) were then added every 15 min, with the mixture stirred at 0°C for 2 h. The progress of the reaction was monitored by reversed-phase HPLC (see Instrumentation and Chromatography Materials section).

The reaction mixture was then warmed to room temperature, extracted with EtOAc (2×50 cm3), washed with brine (1×25 cm3), dried (Na2SO4) and evaporated to dryness in vacuo. The residue so obtained was subjected to preparative silica gel TLC eluted with CHCl3−MeOH (9:1), to afford SOHG–5OHG benzodioxane diol: (±)-threo-43 (9.8 mg, 0.024 mmol, 8.6%) and (±)-erythro-43 (1.2 mg, 0.003 mmol, 1.1%), respectively.

(±)-threo-43: the 1H and 13C NMR spectra were identical to that described in Protocol 1; m/z (LC-APCI) 388.9 (M − H. C20H21O8 requires 389.1) (tR = 2.4 min). Chiral HPLC analysis: (−)-threo-43: tR = 84.6 and (+)-threo-43: 115.1 min.

(±)-erythro-43: δH(500 MHz; acetone-d6): 6.71 (2 H, br s, ArOH), 6.71 (1 H, d, J 1.5, 2′-H), 6.62 (1 H, d, J 1.5, 6′-H), 6.62 (1 H, d, J 2.0, 2′-H), 6.59 (1 H, d, J 1.5, 6.5′-H), 6.49 (1 H, d, J 16.0, 7′-H), 6.28 (1 H, dt, J 5.5 and 16.0, 8′-H), 5.2 (1 H, d, J 3.0, 7-H), 4.46 (1 H, m, 8-H), 4.2 (2 H, d, J 4.5, 9′-H), 3.38 (3 H, s, 3′-OMe), 3.29 (3 H, s, 3′-OMe), 3.26 (1 H, br d, J 5.0, 7.0 and 12.0, 9-H), 3.49 (1 H, dd, J 5.0 and 12.0, 9-H); δC(125 MHz; acetone-d6; MeSi) 150.4 (C-3′), 148.86 (C-3), 146.32 (C-5′), 144.91 (C-5), 134.6 (C-4), 132.84 (C-1′), 130.9 (C-7′), 130.0 (C-7′), 129.6 (C-7′), 128.4 (C-8′), 108.66 (C-6), 108.11 (C-6′), 103.85 (C-2′), 103.13 (C-2′), 78.48 (C-8′), 76.56 (C-7′), 63.26 (C-9′), 59.88 (C-9), 56.47 (C-3′-OMe), 56.41 (C-3′-OMe); m/z (LC-APCI) 388.9 (M − H. C20H21O8 requires 389.1) (tR = 2.4 min). Chiral HPLC analysis: (±)-erythro-43: tR = 83.6 and 90.6 min, respectively.

5OHG–5OHG–G benzodioxane trimer (46). To a solution of 42a (1 g, 1.87 mmol) in dry THF (30 cm3) was added TBAF (1 M in THF, 4 cm3),23 with the contents allowed to stir under N2 in an ice-bath for 30 min. After completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NaCl (100 cm3), and the whole extracted with EtOAc (3 × 100 cm3). The combined organic fractions were washed with brine (1 × 100 cm3), dried (Na2SO4) and evaporated to dryness in vacuo. The residue (~0.9 g) was purified by silica gel CC using hexanes–ethyl acetate (2:8) as eluant to afford threo/erythro-5OHG–5OHG benzodioxane methyl ester in 9.5:0.5 ratio (44, 0.69 g, 1.65 mmol, 88%). Further, to a solution of ester 44 (0.68 g, 1.62 mmol) in benzene–acetone (2:1) was added coniferyl alcohol (2, 0.3 g, 1.66 mmol) and Ag2CO3 (1.14 g, 4.15 mmol). After completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was purified by silica gel CC using hexanes–Et2O (1:9) as eluant to afford the methyl ester of SOHG–5OHG–G benzodioxane trimer (45, 0.58 g, 0.97 mmol, 58%). A portion of the latter ester 45 (0.3 g, 0.5 mmol) was next reduced with DIBAL (2 cm3) to afford SOHG–5OHG–G benzodioxane trimer (46) (0.22 g, 0.40 mmol, 80%).
acetonitrile-d$_3$): 150.07, 148.48, 148.47, 148.0, 145.43, 145.40, 145.26, 134.73, 134.71, 134.0, 130.6, 129.91, 129.88, 129.4, 129.2, 121.60 (d), 115.75, 115.73, 111.91, 111.88, 109.87, 108.6 (br d), 105.07, 104.96, 103.5, 79.47, 79.45, 79.28, 79.24, 77.11, 77.06, 76.89, 76.81, 63.27, 61.77 (d), 56.44, 56.34 (d), 56.28; $m/z$ (LC-APCI) 567.0 (M – H$_2$C$_6$H$_5$O$_7$ requires 567.2) ($t_R$ = 2.0 min); HRMS (EI) 591.1846 (M + Na, C$_{24}$H$_{23}$O$_{7}$Na requires 591.1842). Chiral HPLC analysis; $t_R$ = 162.6, 192.0 (br min) and 254.2, 292.85 (br min) respectively; the enantiomers were not baseline separable.

deer and erythro 5OHG–SOH–G benzodioxane triethanol. [4-(3-Hydroxypropyl)-5-methoxy]-7-(1,2,3-tris(ethylthio)propyl)-2,3-dihydrobenzof[b][1,4]dioxine-2,3-diyldimethanol] (36). Thioacidolysis of the 5OHG–SOH–G benzodioxane trimer (46) (50 mg, 0.088 mmol) was carried out exactly as described above for 32, with the crude thioacidolysis reaction products purified by silica gel TLC (eluants, EtOAc–acetone, 8:2) and further purified by reversed-phase HPLC to afford the threo/erythro-mixtures of G triethanol (19.9 mg, 0.028 mmol, ~32%), 5OHG–SOH–G benzodioxane triethanol (36), 3.3 mg, 0.0045 mmol, ~5%) and 5OHG–SOH–G benzodioxane triethanol (35, 6 mg, 0.011 mmol, ~13%), respectively.

deer and erythro–36. $\delta$(500 MHz; acetone-d$_6$) 7.75 (1H, br s, ArOH), 7.12 (1H, dd, J 1.5 and 5.5, 2-H), 6.97 (1H, dd, J 1.5 and 8.0, 6-H), 6.86 (1H, dd, J 1.5 and 8.0, 5-H), 6.77 (2H, br s, 2’-H and 6’-H), 6.719 (1H, dd, J 1.5 and 2.0, 2”-H), 6.717 (1H, dd, J 2.0, 6”-H), 5.0 (1H, dd, J 8.0, 7-H), 4.97 (1H, d, J 7.5, 7”-H), 4.39 - 4.34 (1H, m, 7’-H), 4.08 (2H, m, 8-H, 8’-H), 3.877 (3H, br s, OMe), 3.863 (3H, br s, OMe), 3.834 (3H, br s, OMe), 3.81 (2H, m, 9-H and 9’-H), 3.54 (2H, m, 9-H and 9’-H), 3.22 - 3.04 (1H, m, 8”-H), 3.02 - 2.70 (2H, m, 9”-H), 2.63 - 2.33 (6H, m, 3 – $\times$3CH$_3$), 1.24 - 1.09 (9H, m, 3 – $\times$3CH$_3$); $\delta$(125 MHz; acetone-d$_6$) 150.15 (t), 149.51, 149.47, 148.55 (d), 148.07, 145.52 (d), 145.47 (d), 144.77 (d), 144.73 (d), 137.49 (d), 134.30 (d), 133.69 (t), 132.57, 132.50 (d), 129.97, 129.92, 129.27 (d), 121.66 (d), 115.80 (d), 119.18, 119.13 (d), 111.40 (br d), 110.89, 110.85 (d), 109.95 (d), 106.73, 106.26, 105.17, 105.13 (d), 105.03 (d), 79.54 (d), 79.31 (d), 79.26 (d), 77.19, 77.12, 76.96 (d), 76.89 (d), 61.84 (C-9 and C-9’), 56.52, 56.45 (d), 56.41 (d), 53.86 (d), 53.78, 53.70, 53.18 (d), 52.58 (d), 37.53 (d), 37.43, 27.40, 27.35, 27.22 (d), 27.00 (dd), 26.42, 26.30 (d), 15.47, 15.41, 15.25, 15.10 (d), 14.99; $m/z$ (LC-APCI) 733.1 (M – H$_2$C$_6$H$_5$O$_7$S$_3$ requires 733.2) ($t_R$ = 5.6 min); 672.9 (M + H – HSEI)$^+$, 611.1 (M + H – 2 HSEI)$^+$. Time course of thioacidolytic cleavage of 5OHG–G benzodioxane dimer (34) and 5OHG–SOH–G benzodioxane trimer (46) The 5OHG–G benzodioxane dimer (32) (3.1 µmol) was initially subjected to standard quantitative thioacidolysis conditions ([+]-sesamin as internal standard, C$_2$H$_5$OH, ca 75 µg), while quenching the reaction at hourly intervals from 0 to 5 h. With the resulting thioacidolysis reaction mixture dissolved in THF (10 ml), aliquots (1 mm$^3$) were then subjected to reversed-phase UPLC analyses (BEH C18 column, Waters, 2.1 × 150 mm) with elution conditions at a flow rate of 0.2 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$: linear gradients of MeOH–3% (v/v) AcOH in H$_2$O from 40:60 to 70:30 in 3 min, then to 80:20 in 4 min, to 100:0 in 2.25 min with this composition held for an additional 0.25 min, and finally to 40:60 in 0.5 min (this being held for 5 min). The SOH–G–SOH–G benzodioxane trimer (46) (2.7 µmol) was then subjected to standard quantitative thioacidolysis conditions exactly as described above for 5OHG–G benzodioxane dimer (32). The various thioacidolysis products from 32 and 46 were identified by comparison to retention times of authentic standards and by mass spectrometric analyses. The chemical characterization of intermediate 5OHG–G benzodioxane monoethanethiol 47, as obtained from 5OHG–G dimer (32) at the early phase thioacidolysis reaction, was established by 1D and 13C NMR spectroscopy and mass spectral analysis. For quantitative analyses, authentic standards G (19), SOH (20), S (21), 5OHG–G (35), and 5OHG–SOH–G (36) were used to
calculate the corresponding response factors. All samples were analyzed in duplicate.

5OHG–G benzodioxane monoethanethiol [(E)-4-(7-(3-ethylthio)prop-1-enyl)-3-(hydroxymethyl)-5-methoxy-2,3-dihydrobenzo[j][1,4]dioxin-2-yl)-2-methoxyphenol] (47): δH (500 MHz; acetone-d6; MeSi) 7.77 (1 H, ArOH), 7.11 (1 H, d, J 2.0, 2-H), 6.96 (1 H, dd, J 2.0 and 8.0, 6-H), 6.88 (1 H, d, J 8.0, 5-H), 6.72 (1 H, d, J 1.5, 2′-H), 6.60 (1 H, d, J 1.5, 6′-H), 6.39 (1 H, d, J 15.5, 7′-H), 6.12 (1 H, dt, J 6.5 and 7.5, 2-H), 4.98 (1 H, d, J 8.0, 7-H), 4.0 (1 H, m, 3-H), 3.87 (3 H, s, 3-OMe), 3.86 (3 H, s, 3-H); δC (125 MHz; acetone-d6; MeSi) 150.12 (C-3′), 148.45 (C-3), 148.44 (C-4), 145.44 (C-5′), 134.22 (C-4′), 132.41 (C-7′), 130.2 (C-1′), 129.34 (C-1), 125.38 (C-8′), 121.55 (C-6), 115.7 (C-5), 111.9 (C-2), 108.67 (C-6′), 103.4 (C-2′), 79.47 (C-8), 77.04 (C-7′), 61.75 (C-9′), 56.32 (C-3′-OMe), 56.31 (C-3′-OMe), 34.19 (C-9), 25.0 (C-10′), 14.97 (C-11′); m/z (LC-APCI) 417.0 (M - H – HSEt)+ and 417.1 (M + H – 2HSEt)+ (tR = 5.7 min).

Isolation and molecular weight distributions of lignin-derived isolates from WT and AtOMT lines

Whole stem sections from AtOMT mutant and WT lines were subjected to a modified Björkman27 lignin isolation procedure as described by Bernard-Vailhé et al.28 The extractive-free CWRs obtained from AtOMT mutant (6.95 g) and WT (12.15 g) lines, were individually ground by ball-milling for 96 h at 4 ◦C and then extracted twice using a mixture of dioxane–water (96:4, v/v, 30 cm3 g−1) for 24 h. The crude lignins thus obtained for AtOMT mutant (362 mg) and WT (710 mg) were then individually subjected to various precipitation conditions as described by Jourdès et al.29 to furnish the corresponding lignin-derived fractions: AtOMT mutant (157 mg, 11.6%); λmax (dioxane)/nm 281 (ε/g·cm−1 15.7 ± 0.11). WT (282 mg, 10.8%); λmax (dioxane)/nm 281 (ε/g·cm−1 16.6 ± 0.16). Molecular weight distributions of both lignin-enriched isolates were estimated by gel permeation chromatography on Sephadex G-100 column (100 cm × 2.5 cm) eluted with 0.1 M NaOH at 40 cm h−1 and precalibrated with sodium polystyrene sulfonates (MWs: 1430; 5180; 29000; 79000) and coniferyl alcohol (2, 180) as previously described.30

NMR spectroscopic analyses of lignin-enriched isolates from AtOMT mutant and WT lines

Each lignin isolate (~30 mg) of both WT and AtOMT lines was individually dissolved in DMSO-d6 (0.5 cm3), with the 13C NMR, two-dimensional phase-sensitive gradient-selected, HMQC and HMBC spectra individually recorded using similar acquisition parameters as described earlier.15

Thioacidolysis of lignin-enriched isolates harboring trimer (36) and dimer (35) from AtOMT mutant

Thioacidolytic cleavage of the AtOMT lignin-enriched isolate (90 mg) was carried out as described for 32 and 46. The crude reaction mixture so obtained (120 mg) was first subjected to silica gel TLC eluted with hexanes–EtOAc (7:3), with isolated bands individually subjected to NMR and mass spectroscopic analyses. NMR (1D and 2D) spectra of two fractions Fr-2 (3.5 mg) and Fr-3 (17.7 mg) contained benzodioxane substructures [4.81 (7-H) and 76.0 (C-7)/4.0 (8-H) and 78.2 (C-8)]. These were individually subjected to reversed-phase HPLC separation (see Instrumentation and Chromatography Materials section) with fractions corresponding to dimer 35 (from Fr-2) and trimer 36 (from Fr-3) individually pooled, extracted with EtOAc (40 cm3), evaporated to dryness in vacuo and freeze-dried. The 1D 1H and 13C NMR spectra of 35 and 36 contained identical chemical shift resonance values to synthetic 35 and 36, respectively.

5OHG–G benzodioxane triethanethiol (35, 0.8 mg); m/z (LC-APCI) 478.9 (M + H – HSEt)+ and 417.1 (M + H – 2HSEt)+ (tR = 5.7 min).

5OHG–5OHG–G benzodioxane triethanethiol (36, 3.5 mg); m/z (LC-APCI) 672.9 (M + H – HSEt)+ and 611.1 (M + H – 2HSEt)+ (tR = 5.6 min).

Arabidopsis COMT gene cloning, heterologous expression, purification and kinetic analyses

Twelve of 17 putative COMTs (AtCOMT1, 3, 4, 6, 8–13, 15 and 17)30 were isolated from Arabidopsis (ecotype: Columbia) as follows: After isolation of total RNA using RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen), single-strand cDNA was generated with reverse transcriptase II (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The twelve putative COMTs were then individually amplified with PCR; their products were cloned using the TOPO TA cloning kit (Invitrogen) and their sequences were confirmed by individual sequencing.

For heterologous protein expression, each gene was re-amplified with directional nucleotide containing forward primer. The products were then directionally cloned into a pET101/D-TOPO expression vector. After sequence confirmation, each of the vectors was individually transformed into E. coli expression cell [BL21 Star (DE3)]. E. coli cells were cultured in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth supplemented with carbenicillin (0.1 mg cm−1) at 37 ◦C. When cell growth reached an OD600 value between 0.5 and 0.9, 0.5 mM isopropyl-thio-β-galactoside (IPTG) was added for induction. Cells were individually harvested by centrifugation at 3,000 × g for 20 min and stored at ~80 ◦C until needed. Pellets were thawed, suspended in a 1:1 mixture of Tris-HCl (20 mM; pH 7.5, buffer A) and BugBusterTM Protein Extraction reagent. Cellular debris was removed by centrifugation (15000 × g, 30 min), with the resulting pellet resuspended in Buffer A (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.9; 500 mM NaCl; 5 mM imidazole). Each suspension was filtered (0.45 µm) and applied to a POROS 20 MC column (100 mm × 4.6 mm) pre-equilibrated with Buffer A at a flow rate of 10 cm2 min−1 and eluted as previously described.39 Aliquots (12 µm3) of every other fraction were analyzed by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.40 Fractions containing His-tagged AtCOMTs were pooled and concentrated using Centriicon® Plus-80 concentrators, diluted with 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) and reconstituted. Protein concentrations were determined using the Bradford method,41 with BSA as standard.

Enzyme assays for candidate AtCOMTs were performed as follows: Each assay (300 mm3) contained Tris-HCl buffer (100 mM, pH 7.5), purified candidate AtCOMT (5 µg), substrates [caffeic (5)/5-hydroxyferulic (6) acid, caffeyl (9)/5-hydroxyconiferyl (10) aldehyde and caffeoyl (11)/5-hydroxyconiferyl (4) alcohol, 0.33 mM] and S-adenosylmethionine (0.33 mM). Assays were
initiated by addition of each candidate AtCOMT, incubated for 10 min and stopped by acidification (glacial AcOH, 10 mm). Controls included both boiled enzyme extracts and omission of substrates. An aliquot (80 μl) of each assay mixture was then subjected to HPLC analysis.

Note added in proof: A paper (Lu et al., Plant Physiology, doi/10.1104/pp.110.154278) has just appeared on an incompletely COMT-suppressed poplar line and analysis of the cell-wall isolates.
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