

## Accepted Manuscript

Cellulose solvent- and organic solvent-based lignocellulose fractionation enabled efficient sugar release from a variety of lignocellulosic feedstocks

Noppadon Sathitsuksanoh, Zhiguang Zhu, Y.-H. Percival Zhang

PII: S0960-8524(12)00712-2  
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.04.088>  
Reference: BITE 9966

To appear in: *Bioresource Technology*

Received Date: 29 February 2012  
Revised Date: 21 April 2012  
Accepted Date: 21 April 2012

Please cite this article as: Sathitsuksanoh, N., Zhu, Z., Percival Zhang, Y.-H., Cellulose solvent- and organic solvent-based lignocellulose fractionation enabled efficient sugar release from a variety of lignocellulosic feedstocks, *Bioresource Technology* (2012), doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.04.088>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1  
2  
3  
4 **Cellulose solvent- and organic solvent-based lignocellulose**  
5  
6  
7 **fractionation enabled efficient sugar release from a variety of**  
8  
9  
10 **lignocellulosic feedstocks**

11  
12  
13  
14  
15 Noppadon Sathitsuksanoh,<sup>1,2</sup> Zhiguang Zhu,<sup>1</sup> and Y.-H. Percival Zhang<sup>1,2,3,4\*</sup>  
16  
17  
18  
19

20 <sup>1</sup>Biological Systems Engineering Department, 210-A Seitz Hall, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA  
21  
22 24061;  
23

24  
25 <sup>2</sup>Institute for Critical Technology and Applied Sciences (ICTAS), Virginia Tech, Blacksburg,  
26  
27 VA 24061;  
28

29  
30 <sup>3</sup>DOE BioEnergy Science Center (BESC), Oak Ridge, TN 37831  
31

32  
33 <sup>4</sup>Gate Fuels Inc. 2200 Kraft Drive, Suite 1200B, Blacksburg, VA 24060  
34  
35  
36

37 \*Corresponding author. YPZ. Biological Systems Engineering Department, 210-A Seitz Hall,  
38  
39 Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA. Tel: 540-231-7414; Fax: 540-231-7414; Email:  
40  
41 [ypzhang@vt.edu](mailto:ypzhang@vt.edu)  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

**Abstract**

Developing feedstock-independent biomass pretreatment would be vital to second generation biorefineries that would fully utilize diverse non-food lignocellulosic biomass resources, decrease transportation costs of low energy density feedstock, and conserve natural biodiversity. Cellulose solvent- and organic solvent-based lignocellulose fractionation (COSLIF) was applied to a variety of feedstocks, including Miscanthus, poplar, their mixture, bagasse, wheat straw, and rice straw. Although non-pretreated biomass samples exhibited a large variation in enzymatic digestibility, the COSLIF-pretreated biomass samples exhibited similar high enzymatic glucan digestibilities and fast hydrolysis rates. Glucan digestibilities of most pretreated feedstocks were ~93% at five filter paper units per gram of glucan. The overall glucose and xylose yields for the Miscanthus: poplar mixture at a weight ratio of 1:2 were 93% and 85%, respectively. These results suggested that COSLIF could be regarded as a feedstock-independent pretreatment suitable for processing diverse feedstocks by adjusting pretreatment residence time only.

**Keywords:** biofuels, biomass pretreatment, cellulose accessibility to cellulase, cellulose solvent, enzymatic cellulose hydrolysis, lignocellulose fractionation

## Introduction

The production of biofuels and value-added biochemicals from renewable abundant non-food lignocellulosic biomass would bring benefits to the environment, rural economy, and national security. Additionally, it would create a large number of new biomanufacturing jobs, which cannot be outsourced, because of high transportation costs for lower energy density biomass feedstocks as compared to crude oil, coal, and corn kernels (7, 25). The largest technical and economical obstacle to second generation biorefineries is cost-effective release of fermentable sugars from lignocellulosic biomass (10, 15, 25).

*Miscanthus x giganteus* (briefly called Miscanthus) and *Populus nigra x Populus maximowiczii* (hybrid poplar) are regarded as promising bioenergy crops because they have high productivities and low requirements for plantation. Miscanthus is a perennial C4 grass, featuring a long production lifetime (e.g., 10-15 years) (22). Extensive trials in Europe result in an average biomass productivity, more than 30 dry metric tons per hectare per year, with minimal agricultural inputs, much higher than an average yield of 10-15 tons per hectare per year of switchgrass (5, 8, 11, 21). Poplar and their hybrids are fast-growing and short-rotation woody crops, which can be grown in marginal lands with a mean above-ground biomass productivity of ~14 dry metric tons per hectare per year (16). Since hybrid poplar has a wide spatial distribution in North America and Canada, it can be grown close to biorefineries. Moreover, woody biomass, such as poplar, has several advantages compared to agricultural residues and bioenergy grass crops, such as high polysaccharide contents (i.e. 40-50% glucan and 20-30% xylan) (13) and higher mass density, rendering lower transportation cost (1).

1  
2  
3  
4 Numerous pretreatment technologies, such as dilute acid, steam explosion, ammonia fiber  
5 explosion (AFEX), aqueous ammonia recycle percolation (ARP), and lime, have shown to be  
6  
7 effective to pretreat herbaceous biomass (i.e., corn stover and switchgrass) (12, 15, 23, 24).  
8  
9

10  
11 However, most pretreatments are ineffective for woody biomass. For example, enzymatic glucan  
12 digestibilities of dilute acid-, AFEX-, and ARP-pretreated poplar were 47%, 39%, and 36%,  
13  
14 respectively, at an enzyme loading of 15 filter paper units (FPU) of cellulase per gram of glucan  
15  
16 (1, 24). These low enzymatic digestibilities may be due to more recalcitrant structure and higher  
17  
18 lignin contents. With consideration of diverse feedstocks in different regions and a large variety  
19  
20 in feedstock quality due to growth conditions, harvesting seasons, and storage conditions,  
21  
22 developing feedstock-independent pretreatment without significant changes in pretreatment  
23  
24 conditions would be of importance to implement large-scale second generation biorefineries.  
25  
26 Additionally, the utilization of mixed feedstocks in biorefineries would decrease feedstock  
27  
28 logistical hurdles and maintain biodiversity.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

38 Cellulose solvent- and organic solvent-based lignocellulose fractionation (COSLIF) has been  
39  
40 developed to fractionate lignocellulose by using a combination of a concentrated phosphoric acid  
41  
42 as a cellulose solvent and an organic solvent (e.g., acetone or ethanol) under modest reaction  
43  
44 conditions (15, 26). COSLIF has been demonstrated to efficiently pretreat several feedstocks,  
45  
46 such as bamboo (17), common reed (9, 18), hemp hurd (14), corn stover (27), bermudagrass (9),  
47  
48 switchgrass (19), gamagrass (4), giant reed, elephant grass, and sugarcane (3). Because  
49  
50 concentrated phosphoric acid as a cellulose solvent can dissolve cellulose fibers, resulting in  
51  
52 effective disruption of highly ordered hydrogen bonding network of crystalline cellulose (2, 19)  
53  
54 and drastic increases in cellulose accessibility to cellulase (CAC) (15, 27).  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 The goal of this study was to examine pretreatment efficiency of COSLIF on Miscanthus, hybrid  
8 poplar, and their mixtures at various mass ratios, bagasse, wheat straw, and rice straw by  
9 adjusting the pretreatment time at the same temperature and the same biomass to phosphoric acid  
10 ratio.  
11  
12  
13  
14

## 15 16 17 18 19 **Materials and methods** 20

21 **Chemicals and materials.** All chemicals were reagent grade and purchased from Sigma-Aldrich  
22 (St. Louis, MO), unless otherwise noted. Phosphoric acid (85% w/w) and ethanol (95% v/v)  
23 were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Houston, TX). Microcrystalline cellulose, Avicel PH105  
24 (20  $\mu\text{m}$ ) was obtained from FMC Corp (Philadelphia, PA). Regenerated amorphous cellulose  
25 (RAC) was prepared through a series of steps: Avicel slurring in water, cellulose dissolution in  
26 concentrated phosphoric acid, and cellulose regeneration in water (15). The *Trichoderma reesei*  
27 cellulase (Novozyme® 50013) and  $\beta$ -glucosidase (Novozyme® 50010) were gifted by  
28 Novozymes North America (Franklinton, NC). They had activities of 84 filter paper units (FPU)  
29 of cellulase per mL and 270 units of  $\beta$ -glucosidase per mL. Corn stover, hybrid poplar, wheat  
30 straw, and alamo switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum*) were procured from the National Renewable  
31 Energy Laboratory (Boulder, CO). *Miscanthus giganteus* sample was procured from University  
32 of Illinois (Urbana, IL). Industrial hemp stalks, provided by the Equator Group (Los Angeles,  
33 CA), were grown in Canada. The hemp hurds were obtained after manual removal of the fiber of  
34 the industrial hemp stems (14). Common reed (*Phragmites australis*) was obtained from the U.S.  
35 Army Edgewood Chemical Biological Center (Aberdeen, MD) (18). Bamboo, rice straw, and  
36 bagasse samples were procured from the Industrial Technology Research Institute (Taiwan). The  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 moso bamboo was grown in Taiwan and the full-size culm with around a half- to one-year age  
5  
6 was harvested and then dried naturally (17). All naturally-dried biomass samples were milled  
7  
8 into small particles by a Pallmann counter-rotating knife ring flaker (Clifton, NJ). The resulting  
9  
10 particulates with nominal sizes of 40-60 mesh (250-400  $\mu\text{m}$ ) were used for all pretreatment  
11  
12 experiments. All milled lignocellulosic samples were kept at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  until pretreatment.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

19 **Carbohydrate and lignin assays.** The carbohydrate composition of biomass and residual  
20  
21 biomass after hydrolysis was determined with a modified quantitative saccharification (QS)  
22  
23 procedure (13). In the modified QS, secondary hydrolysis was conducted in the presence of 1%  
24  
25 (w/w) sulfuric acid at  $121^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 1 h to more accurately determine the quantities of sugars  
26  
27 susceptible to acid degradation (e.g. xylan). After  $\text{CaCO}_3$  neutralization and centrifugation,  
28  
29 monomeric sugars in the supernatant were measured with a Shimadzu HPLC equipped with a  
30  
31 Bio-Rad Aminex HPX-87P column (Richmond, CA) at a rate of 0.6 mL of deionized water per  
32  
33 min at  $60^{\circ}\text{C}$  (13). The standard NREL biomass protocol was used to measure lignin and ash (20).  
34  
35 In brief, solids remaining after two-stage acid hydrolysis were held at  $105^{\circ}\text{C}$  overnight. The  
36  
37 weight of the dried solids corresponds to the amount of acid-insoluble lignin and ash in the  
38  
39 sample. The weight of the ash only fraction was then determined by heating the solids to  $575^{\circ}\text{C}$   
40  
41 for 24 hours. Percent acid-soluble lignin in the sample was determined by measuring the UV  
42  
43 absorption of the acid hydrolysis supernatant at 320 nm. All carbohydrate and lignin assays were  
44  
45 conducted in triplicate.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55

56 **COSLIF procedure.** The COSLIF was prepared as described previously (15, 18). In short,  
57  
58 approximately 1.05 gram of naturally-dry biomass with a moisture content of approximately 5%  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 was mixed with 8 mL of 85% (w/w) H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> in a 50-mL plastic centrifuge tube at 50°C and 1 atm  
5  
6 for 60 min, unless otherwise noted. The pretreatment was ceased by adding 20 mL of 95% (v/v)  
7  
8 ethanol and then mixed well. Solid-liquid separation was conducted in a swing bucket centrifuge  
9  
10 at 4,500 rpm at room temperature for 10 min. After the supernatant was removed, the pellets  
11  
12 were suspended in 40 mL of 95% (v/v) ethanol. After centrifugation, the solid pellets were  
13  
14 washed by 40 mL of deionized water two times. After centrifugation, the remaining solid pellets  
15  
16 were neutralized by 2 M sodium carbonate. The pretreated wet biomass was stored in the  
17  
18 presence of 0.1% (w/v) NaN<sub>3</sub> at 4°C prior to enzymatic hydrolysis.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

26 **Enzymatic hydrolysis.** The COSLIF-pretreated samples were diluted to 10 g glucan per liter in  
27  
28 a 50 mM sodium citrate buffer (pH 4.8) supplemented with 0.1% (w/v) NaN<sub>3</sub>, which prevented  
29  
30 the growth of microorganisms. COSLIF-pretreated samples were completely suspended in a  
31  
32 rotary shaker at 250 rpm at 50 °C. The enzyme loadings were 5 FPU per gram of glucan and 10  
33  
34 units of β-glucosidase per gram of glucan. Eight hundred microliters of well-mixed hydrolysate  
35  
36 were removed, followed by immediate centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 5 min. Exactly 500 μL of  
37  
38 the supernatant was transferred to another micro-centrifuge tube and stayed at room temperature  
39  
40 for 30 min, to allow the conversion of all cellobiose to glucose. The supernatant was then  
41  
42 acidified by adding 30 μL of 10% (w/w) sulfuric acid, followed by freezing overnight. The  
43  
44 frozen samples were thawed, mixed well, and then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 5 min, to  
45  
46 remove any precipitated solid sediments. The soluble glucose and xylose in the enzymatic  
47  
48 hydrolysate were measured by HPLC equipped with a Bio-Rad HPX-87H column at a rate of 0.6  
49  
50 mL of 0.1% v/v sulfuric acid per min at 60°C (26). Galactose and mannose co-eluted with xylose.  
51  
52 After 72 h hydrolysis, the remaining hydrolysate was transferred to a 50 mL centrifuge tube,  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



1  
2  
3  
4 centrifuged at 4,500 rpm for 15 min, and soluble sugar content was determined using the same  
5  
6 procedure as other hydrolysate samples, as described above. After all remaining hydrolysate was  
7  
8 decanted, and the pellets were resuspended in 20 mL of water and centrifuged to remove residual  
9  
10 soluble sugars from the pellets. The sugar content of the washed pellets was determined by  
11  
12 modified QS as described above. Enzymatic glucan digestibility after 72 h was calculated using  
13  
14 the ratio of soluble glucose in the supernatant to the sum of this soluble glucose and the glucose  
15  
16 equivalent of the residual glucan (15, 26).  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

23 **Other assays.** The total substrate accessibility to cellulase (TSAC), cellulose accessibility to  
24  
25 cellulase (CAC), and non-cellulose accessibility to cellulase (NCAC) were determined based on  
26  
27 the maximum adsorption capacity of the TGC protein containing a green fluorescent protein and  
28  
29 a family 3 cellulose-binding module in the presence or absence of bovine serum albumin (15, 27).  
30  
31 TGC fusion protein was produced in *E. coli* BL21 (pNT02), purified by adsorption onto  
32  
33 regenerated amorphous cellulose (RAC), and desorbed with ethylene glycol (EG) (6). EG was  
34  
35 then removed through dialysis in a 50 mM sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.0) and the TGC solution  
36  
37 was concentrated using the Millipore 10,000 Da molecular weight cut-off centrifugal ultra-filter  
38  
39 columns (Billerica, MA).  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

## 48 **Results and discussion**

49

50 Previous COSLIF studies suggested that (i) phosphoric acid only above a critical concentration  
51  
52 (83%) can efficiently disrupt recalcitrant lignocellulose structures (14); (ii) the best pretreatment  
53  
54 judged based on a maximal sugar release: a combinatorial result of a maximal retention of solid  
55  
56 cellulose and a maximal enzymatic cellulose hydrolysis (18); (iii) enzymatic hydrolysis of  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 pretreated biomass can be conducted at five filter paper units per glucan (17), a third of typical  
5  
6 enzyme loading for most pretreated biomass (1, 24); and (iv) naturally dry biomass with low  
7  
8 moisture contents can be pretreated by concentrated phosphoric acid directly (18). Also  
9  
10 concentrated phosphoric acid has dual functions: a cellulose solvent for disrupting recalcitrant  
11  
12 biomass structure and an acid for depolymerizing polysaccharides and even degrading sugars. It  
13  
14 was found that the first function was dominant at low temperatures (e.g.,  $\leq 50^{\circ}\text{C}$ ). In contrast, its  
15  
16 second function became stronger when reaction temperature increased. Therefore, the optimal  
17  
18 COSLIF pretreatment temperature was around  $50^{\circ}\text{C}$  (18) while pretreatment time could change  
19  
20 depending on biomass type.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28  
29 The carbohydrate and lignin compositions of Miscanthus and poplar samples are shown in Table  
30  
31 1. Two feedstocks have comparable overall carbohydrate contents but differ in carbohydrate  
32  
33 compositions. For example, Miscanthus did not contain detectable mannan while poplar  
34  
35 contained 3.33 wt. % mannan. Also, poplar contained a lignin content of 28 wt. % , higher than  
36  
37 Miscanthus (i.e., 23 wt.%). In this study, Miscanthus and poplar samples were mixed at four  
38  
39 ratios, i.e., 1:0, 1:2, 2:1, and 0:1. Non-pretreated biomass samples regardless of their ratios  
40  
41 showed similar hydrolysis profile with a glucan digestibility of 8% after 72 h of enzymatic  
42  
43 hydrolysis at an enzyme loading of 15 FPU per gram of glucan. Non-pretreated Miscanthus:  
44  
45 poplar at a ratio of 1:2 was shown as a representative (Fig. 1). COSLIF-pretreated biomass  
46  
47 mixture samples at four ratios were hydrolyzed at the enzyme loading of 5 FPU of cellulase and  
48  
49 10 units of  $\beta$ -glucosidase per gram of glucan (Fig. 1). It was found that the optimal reaction time  
50  
51 for poplar and Miscanthus was 60 min at  $50^{\circ}\text{C}$ . All four COSLIF-pretreated biomass mixtures  
52  
53 had similar hydrolysis profiles (Fig. 1). The pretreated biomass mixtures were hydrolyzed fast,  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 and 50% of substrates were hydrolyzed after 3 h. The glucan digestibilities were ~90% after 24 h  
5  
6 and ~93% after 72 h, suggesting efficient enzymatic hydrolysis of COSLIF-pretreated biomass  
7  
8 regardless of their ratios at a low enzyme loading. Different lignin contents in Miscanthus and  
9  
10 poplar did not show significant influences on digestibility, in agreement with previous discovery  
11  
12 that decreasing lignin content in feedstock was not important for enhanced glucan digestibility  
13  
14 when cellulose accessibility to cellulase was increased greatly by using the cellulose solvent (15).  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

21 Mass balance on the basis of 100 grams of dry biomass at Miscanthus: poplar = 1:2, including  
22  
23 COSLIF pretreatment followed by enzymatic hydrolysis, is shown in Figure 2. After COSLIF,  
24  
25 6.0 grams of soluble glucose equivalent and 10.7 grams of soluble xylose equivalent were  
26  
27 removed. The reactive cellulose material was hydrolyzed by the commercial fungal cellulase  
28  
29 containing hemicellulase activity, releasing 36.4 grams of soluble glucose and 2.5 grams of  
30  
31 soluble xylose equivalent. The overall glucose and xylose yields were 92.8% and 84.7%,  
32  
33  
34 respectively.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 High glucan digestibility of pretreated biomass was attributed to drastic changes in  
42  
43 supramolecular structure of biomass before and after COSLIF pretreatment, examined by  
44  
45 scanning electron microscope (14, 27) (data not shown). After COSLIF, highly ordered hydrogen  
46  
47 bonding network of crystalline cellulose fibers was disrupted, resulting in a drastic increase in  
48  
49 CAC. Total substrate accessibility to cellulase (TSAC) increased from 0.21 (i.e.,  $0.18 \times 1/3 +$   
50  
51  $0.23 \times 2/3$ ) to 16.8 m<sup>2</sup> per gram of biomass at Miscanthus: poplar = 1:2 (Table 2). The CAC  
52  
53 values of intact Miscanthus and poplar were 0.09 and 0.14 m<sup>2</sup> per gram of biomass, respectively.  
54  
55  
56  
57 After COSLIF, the CAC value of pretreated biomass at Miscanthus: poplar = 1:2 was 14.99 m<sup>2</sup>  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 per gram of biomass. COSLIF enhanced CAC by ~125-fold, resulting in highly reactive  
5  
6  
7 cellulosic materials suitable for enzymatic cellulose hydrolysis at a low enzyme loading.  
8  
9

10  
11 In addition to Miscanthus, poplar, and their mixtures, COSLIF was applied to three other  
12  
13  
14 feedstocks: bagasse, wheat straw, and rice straw. Their carbohydrate and lignin contents before  
15  
16  
17 and after COSLIF are shown in Table 1. These intact feedstocks exhibited different enzymatic  
18  
19  
20 hydrolysis profiles, indicating their different degrees of recalcitrance. Non-pretreated rice straw  
21  
22  
23 and wheat straw had low glucan digestibilities (< 10%) after 72 h at 15 FPU of cellulase per  
24  
25  
26 gram of glucan (Fig. 3A&B). In contrast, non-pretreated bagasse had a very high glucan  
27  
28  
29 digestibility of ~47% (Fig. 3C). High digestibility of bagasse may be due to leaching that  
30  
31  
32 removed as much as sucrose from freshly-harvested sugar cane, where leaching, drying,  
33  
34  
35 followed by milling may disrupt biomass fiber more efficiently than other non-pretreated  
36  
37  
38 feedstocks. It was found that the optimal pretreatment times for bagasse, wheat straw, and rice  
39  
40  
41 straw were 30, 45, and 30 min, respectively, shorter than those of Miscanthus and poplar.  
42  
43  
44 Regardless of large differences in enzymatic glucan digestibility of non-pretreated biomass, the  
45  
46  
47 three COSLIF-pretreated biomass samples showed similar hydrolysis profiles and comparatively  
48  
49  
50 high glucan digestibilities, i.e., 85-90% after 24 h enzymatic hydrolysis (Fig. 3). These results  
51  
52  
53 suggested that COSLIF converted different recalcitrant biomass feedstocks to the same substrate  
54  
55  
56 reactivity because pretreated biomass through dissolution of the cellulose solvent and  
57  
58  
59 regeneration had similar substrate properties.  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Lignocellulosic biomass feedstocks could be classified to agricultural wastes, bioenergy crops,  
and woody biomass (Fig. 4). Different species of non-pretreated biomass feedstocks showed a

1  
2  
3  
4 large variation of their glucan digestibilities at 15 FPU of cellulase per gram of glucan.  
5  
6 Agricultural wastes showed a decreasing order in the recalcitrance to enzymatic hydrolysis:  
7  
8 bagasse (47%) > corn stover (23%) > hurd of industrial hemp (14%) > wheat straw (11%) > rice  
9  
10 straw (10%). Compared to agricultural wastes, bioenergy crops had lower enzymatic glucan  
11  
12 digestibilities in a descending order of common reed (19%) > switchgrass (17%) > Miscanthus  
13  
14 (8%) > bamboo (3%). Non-pretreated poplar had a glucan digestibility of ~7%. Although  
15  
16 different feedstocks had different glucan digestibilities, reflecting their different recalcitrant  
17  
18 degrees, all of the COSLIF-pretreated biomass feedstocks had similar high digestibilities(>87%)  
19  
20 after 72 h at an enzyme loading of 5 FPU of cellulase per gram of glucan. Clearly, concentrated  
21  
22 phosphoric acid as a good cellulose solvent effectively enabled the dissolution of cellulose fibers,  
23  
24 greatly increased substrate accessibility, and mitigated the disparity of biomass recalcitrance for  
25  
26 different feedstock. Therefore, COSLIF could be regarded as a “nearly” feedstock-independent  
27  
28 pretreatment.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

38 Typical COSLIF pretreatment conditions were 50 °C and atmospheric pressure with a  
39  
40 pretreatment time from 30 to 60 min – depending on the type of feedstocks. Although different  
41  
42 intact feedstocks showed great variations in enzymatic digestibility (Fig. 4), suggesting their  
43  
44 different recalcitrant structures resistant to hydrolytic enzymes, the use of concentrated  
45  
46 phosphoric acid at 50°C can efficiently dissolve them so to erase their inherent structure  
47  
48 difference and result in amorphous biomass with similar high-accessibility (Table 2) (15, 19). As  
49  
50 a result, COSLIF-pretreated biomass feedstocks exhibited similar enzymatic glucan digestibility  
51  
52 regardless of their sources (Fig. 4). When concentrated phosphoric acid is used as the cellulose  
53  
54 solvent, it should be used at 50°C or lower for avoiding extensive hydrolysis of polymeric  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 carbohydrates and sugar degradation. Under these conditions concentrated phosphoric acid  
5  
6 mainly works as a cellulose solvent to dissolve cellulose rather than as an acid (e.g., fuming HCl  
7  
8 or concentrated sulfuric acid) to hydrolyze cellulose and hemicellulose to oligomeric and  
9  
10 monomeric sugars.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15

## 16 **Conclusions**

17  
18  
19 COSLIF effectively pretreated a variety of feedstocks from herbaceous to wood because the  
20  
21 cellulose solvent (concentrated phosphoric acid) under low temperature can dissolve biomass  
22  
23 regardless of their significantly different structures and compositions and generate highly  
24  
25 reactive amorphous cellulose. The pretreated biomass feedstocks yielded high enzymatic glucan  
26  
27 digestibilities, which were attributed to high substrate accessibility to cellulase. Feedstock-  
28  
29 independent pretreatment could be vital to biorefineries that would fully utilize different local  
30  
31 biomass resources and maintain natural biodiversity.  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

38 **Acknowledgments.** This work was supported partially by the DOE BioEnergy Science Center  
39  
40 (BESC) and USDA Bioprocessing and Biodesign Center. NS was partially supported by the  
41  
42 ICTAS scholar program. The authors would like to thank Dr. Frank Dohleman and Dr. Steve  
43  
44 Long from the University of Illinois for providing the Miscanthus sample utilized in this study.  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## References

1. **Balan, V., L. D. Sousa, S. P. S. Chundawat, D. Marshall, L. N. Sharma, C. K. Chambliss, and B. E. Dale.** 2009. Enzymatic digestibility and pretreatment degradation products of AFEX-treated hardwoods (*Populus nigra*). *Biotechnol Prog* **25**:365-375.
2. **Conte, P., A. Maccotta, C. De Pasquale, S. Bubici, and G. Alonzo.** 2009. Dissolution Mechanism of Crystalline Cellulose in H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> As Assessed by High-Field NMR Spectroscopy and Fast Field Cycling NMR Relaxometry. *J. Agri. Food Chem.* **57**:8748-8752.
3. **Ge, X., D. M. Burner, J. Xu, G. C. Phillips, and G. Sivakumar.** 2011. Bioethanol production from dedicated energy crops and residues in Arkansas, USA. *Biotechnol. J.* **6**:66-73.
4. **Ge, X., V. S. Green, N. Zhang, G. Sivakumar, and J. Xu.** 2012. Eastern gamagrass as an alternative cellulosic feedstock for bioethanol production. *Proc. Biochem.* **47**:335-339.
5. **Heaton, E., T. Voigt, and S. P. Long.** 2004. A quantitative review comparing the yields of two candidate C<sub>4</sub> perennial biomass crops in relation to nitrogen, temperature and water. *Biomass Bioenergy* **27**:21-30.
6. **Hong, J., Ye X, Y. Wang, and Y.-H. P. Zhang.** 2008. Bioseparation of recombinant cellulose binding module-protein by affinity adsorption on an ultra-high-capacity cellulosic adsorbent. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **621**:193-199.
7. **Judd, J. D., S. C. Sarin, and J. S. Cundiff.** 2012. Design, modeling, and analysis of a feedstock logistics system. *Biores. Technol.* **103**:209-218.
8. **Khanna, M., B. Dhungana, and J. Clifton-Brown.** 2008. Costs of producing miscanthus and switchgrass for bioenergy in Illinois. *Biomass Bioenergy* **32**:482-493.
9. **Li, H., N.-J. Kim, M. Jiang, J. W. Kang, and H. N. Chang.** 2009. Simultaneous saccharification and fermentation of lignocellulosic residues pretreated with phosphoric acid-acetone for bioethanol production. *Biores. Technol.* **100**:3245-3251.
10. **Lynd, L. R., M. S. Laser, D. Bransby, B. E. Dale, B. Davison, R. Hamilton, M. Himmel, M. Keller, J. D. McMillan, J. Sheehan, and C. E. Wyman.** 2008. How biotech can transform biofuels. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **26**:169-172.
11. **Miguez, F. E., X. Zhu, S. Humphries, G. A. Bollero, and S. P. Long.** 2009. A semimechanistic model predicting the growth and production of the bioenergy crop *Miscanthus x giganteus*: description, parameterization and validation. *GCB Bioenergy* **1**:282-296.
12. **Mosier, N., C. E. Wyman, B. E. Dale, R. T. Elander, Y. Y. Lee, M. Holtzapple, and M. Ladisch.** 2005. Features of promising technologies for pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass. *Biores. Technol.* **96**:673-686.
13. **Moxley, G., and Y.-H. P. Zhang.** 2007. More accurate determination of acid-labile carbohydrate composition in lignocellulose by modified quantitative saccharification. *Energy Fuels* **21**:3684 - 3688.
14. **Moxley, G. M., Z. Zhu, and Y.-H. P. Zhang.** 2008. Efficient sugar release by the cellulose solvent based lignocellulose fractionation technology and enzymatic cellulose hydrolysis *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **56** 7885-7890.
15. **Rollin, J. A., Z. Zhu, N. Sathitsuksanoh, and Y.-H. P. Zhang.** 2011. Increasing cellulose accessibility is more important than removing lignin: A comparison of cellulose solvent-based lignocellulose fractionation and soaking in aqueous ammonia. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **108**:22-30.
16. **Sannigrahi, P., A. J. Ragauskas, and G. A. Tuskan.** 2010. Poplar as a feedstock for biofuels: A review of compositional characteristics. *Biofuels, Bioprod. Bioref.* **4**:209-226.
17. **Sathitsuksanoh, N., Z. Zhu, T.-J. Ho, M.-D. Bai, and Y.-H. P. Zhang.** 2010. Bamboo saccharification through cellulose solvent-based biomass pretreatment followed by enzymatic hydrolysis at ultra-low cellulase loadings *Biores. Technol.* **101**:4926-4929.



- 1  
2  
3  
4 18. **Sathitsuksanoh, N., Z. Zhu, N. Templeton, J. Rollin, S. Harvey, and Y.-H. P. Zhang.** 2009. Saccharification of a potential bioenergy crop, *Phragmites australis* (common reed), by lignocellulose fractionation followed by enzymatic hydrolysis at decreased cellulase loadings. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **48**:6441-6447.
- 5  
6  
7  
8  
9 19. **Sathitsuksanoh, N., Z. G. Zhu, S. Wi, and Y.-H. P. Zhang.** 2011. Cellulose solvent-based biomass pretreatment breaks highly ordered hydrogen bonds in cellulose fibers of switchgrass. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **108**:521–529.
- 10  
11 20. **Sluiter, A., R. Hames, R. Ruiz, C. Scarlata, J. Sluiter, D. Templeton, and D. Crocker.** 2008. Determination of structural carbohydrates and lignin in biomass. <http://www.nrel.gov/biomass/pdfs/42618.pdf>.
- 12  
13  
14  
15 21. **Somerville, C., H. Youngs, C. Taylor, S. C. Davis, and S. P. Long.** 2010. Feedstocks for lignocellulosic biofuels. *Science* **329**:790-792.
- 16  
17 22. **Wang, B., X. Wang, and H. Feng.** 2010. Deconstructing recalcitrant *Miscanthus* with alkaline peroxide and electrolyzed water. *Biores. Technol.* **101**:752-760.
- 18  
19 23. **Wyman, C. E., B. E. Dale, R. T. Elander, M. Holtzapple, M. R. Ladisch, and Y. Y. Lee.** 2005. Comparative sugar recovery data from laboratory scale application of leading pretreatment technologies to corn stover. *Biores. Technol.* **96**:2026-2032.
- 20  
21  
22 24. **Wyman, C. E., B. E. Dale, R. T. Elander, M. Holtzapple, M. R. Ladisch, Y. Y. Lee, C. Mitchinson, and J. N. Saddler.** 2009. Comparative sugar recovery and fermentation data following pretreatment of poplar wood by leading technologies. *Biotechnol Progr* **25**:333-339.
- 23  
24  
25  
26 25. **Zhang, Y.-H. P.** 2011. What is vital (and not vital) to advance economically-competitive biofuels production. *Proc. Biochem.* **46**:2091-2110.
- 27  
28 26. **Zhang, Y.-H. P., S.-Y. Ding, J. R. Mielenz, R. Elander, M. Laser, M. Himmel, J. D. McMillan, and L. R. Lynd.** 2007. Fractionating recalcitrant lignocellulose at modest reaction conditions. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **97**:214-223.
- 29  
30  
31 27. **Zhu, Z., N. Sathitsuksanoh, T. Vinzant, D. J. Schell, J. D. McMillan, and Y.-H. P. Zhang.** 2009. Comparative study of corn stover pretreated by dilute acid and cellulose solvent-based lignocellulose fractionation: Enzymatic hydrolysis, supramolecular structure, and substrate accessibility. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **103**:715-724.
- 32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



## Figure Legends

**Figure 1.** Enzymatic hydrolysis profiles of COSLIF-pretreated biomass mixtures at the enzyme loading of 5 FPU of cellulase and 10 units of  $\beta$ -glucosidase per gram of glucan at 50°C.

Enzymatic hydrolysis of non-pretreated biomass at 15 FPU of cellulase per gram of glucan exhibited similar hydrolysis profiles. For simplification, the hydrolysis profile of a Miscanthus: poplar ratio of 1:2 was shown only.

**Figure 2.** Mass balance of the biomass mixture at a Miscanthus: poplar = 1:2 pretreated by COSLIF followed by enzymatic hydrolysis by 5 FPU of cellulase per gram of glucan.

**Figure 3.** Enzymatic hydrolysis profiles of COSLIF-pretreated bagasse (A), wheat straw (B), and rice straw (C). COSLIF pretreatment conditions were 50 °C, atmospheric pressure, and pretreatment temperature of 45-min for wheat straw as well as 30-min for bagasse and rice straw.

**Figure 4.** COSLIF appeared to be a feedstock-independent technology. All biomass feedstocks were pretreated by COSLIF at 50 °C and atmospheric pressure with a reaction time of 30 min for bagasse, corn stover, and rice straw, of 45 min for wheat straw, switchgrass, and hurd of industrial hemp, and of 60 min for common reed, Miscanthus, bamboo, and poplar.

1 **Table 1.** Compositional analysis of new tested feedstocks.

Compositions (wt.%)	Miscanthus	Hybrid poplar	Bagasse	Wheat straw	Rice straw
<b>Before pretreatment</b>					
Glucan	41.00 ± 0.09	40.13 ± 0.43	31.81 ± 0.53	32.36 ± 0.13	27.93 ± 0.20
Xylan	18.42 ± 0.05	11.95 ± 0.13	13.28 ± 0.13	18.23 ± 0.09	13.86 ± 0.14
Galactan	ND	1.26 ± 0.01	0.218 ± 0.001	ND	1.99 ± 0.13
Arabinan	2.08 ± 0.01	1.12 ± 0.20	2.50 ± 0.10	2.676 ± 0.001	2.86 ± 0.02
Mannan	ND	3.33 ± 0.01	1.38 ± 0.09	ND	ND
Lignin	23.10 ± 0.20	28.1 ± 2.11	14.96 ± 0.09	17.72 ± 1.37	24.53 ± 0.10
<b>After pretreatment</b>					
Glucan	55.54 ± 1.20	58.79 ± 0.84	43.50 ± 2.02	51.20 ± 0.35	39.41 ± 1.72
XMG*	12.39 ± 0.85	5.70 ± 0.21	8.18 ± 0.48	6.97 ± 0.24	7.05 ± 0.34
Lignin	26.24 ± 0.01	23.59 ± 0.03	20.81 ± 0.02	16.62 ± 0.03	16.61 ± 0.01

2


3 ND indicates not detected.


4 XGM, xylan, mannan,, and galactan combined.


5

**Table 2.** Total surface accessibility to cellulase (TSAC), cellulose accessibility to cellulase (CAC), and glucan digestibility after 72 h under 5 FPU of cellulase and 10 units of  $\beta$ -glucosidase per gram of glucan.

Substrate	TSAC	CAC	Glucan digestibility
	m <sup>2</sup> /g biomass	m <sup>2</sup> /g biomass	(%)
Intact Miscanthus	0.18 ± 0.01	0.087 ± 0.001	7.9 ± 0.6
Intact poplar	0.23 ± 0.01	0.14 ± 0.01	7.8 ± 0.0
Miscanthus : poplar = 1:0	20.7 ± 1.2	18.9 ± 1.7	92.6 ± 0.0
Miscanthus : poplar = 1:2	16.8 ± 2.2	15.0 ± 1.2	93.3 ± 1.3
Miscanthus : poplar = 2:1	17.1 ± 1.3	15.7 ± 1.1	92.6 ± 1.7
Miscanthus : poplar = 0:1	18.2 ± 1.1	17.4 ± 0.9	93.7 ± 3.4












Figure 4

**Cellulose solvent- and organic solvent-based lignocellulose fractionation enabled efficient sugar release from a variety of lignocellulosic feedstocks**

Noppadon Sathitsuksanoh,<sup>1,2</sup> Zhiguang Zhu,<sup>1</sup> and Y.-H. Percival Zhang<sup>1,2,3,4\*</sup>

**Highlights**

- COSLIF can effectively pretreat numerous feedstocks.
- Glucan digestibilities of most feedstocks were ~93% at a low cellulase loading.
- COSLIF could be regarded as feedstock-independent biomass pretreatment.
- Feedstock-independent pretreatment would be vital to success of biorefineries.