



ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

 Current Opinion in
Microbiology

Microbial diversity of cellulose hydrolysis

David B Wilson

Enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose by microorganisms is a key step in the global carbon cycle. Despite its abundance only a small percentage of microorganisms can degrade cellulose, probably because it is present in recalcitrant cell walls. There are at least five distinct mechanisms used by different microorganisms to degrade cellulose all of which involve cellulases. Cellulolytic organisms and cellulases are extremely diverse possibly because their natural substrates, plant cell walls, are very diverse. At this time the microbial ecology of cellulose degradation in any environment is still not clearly understood even though there is a great deal of information available about the bovine rumen. Two major problems that limit our understanding of this area are the vast diversity of organisms present in most cellulose degrading environments and the inability to culture most of them.

Address

Department of Molecular Biology & Genetics, 458 Biotechnology Building, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, United States

Corresponding author: Wilson, David B (Dbw3@cornell.edu)

Current Opinion in Microbiology 2011, **14**:1–5

This review comes from a themed issue on
 Ecology and Industrial Microbiology
 Edited by Eva Top and David Wilson

1369-5274/\$ – see front matter
 © 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

DOI [10.1016/j.mib.2011.04.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2011.04.004)

Introduction

A key step in the global carbon cycle is the hydrolysis of the cellulose in plant cell walls, which is the most abundant source of carbon on land [1]. The half-life of cellulose at neutral pH in the absence of enzymes is estimated to be several million years so that microbial activity is responsible for most of the turnover of the carbon in cellulose although fire also plays a role [2]. Originally it was thought that only microorganisms produced cellulases but it is now clear that some insects, mollusks, nematodes, and protozoa also produce cellulases [3]. Even when ruminants, shipworms or termites utilize cellulose as an energy source, microorganisms usually are involved in its degradation [4–6]. In the case of ruminants, all of their cellulose hydrolysis is carried out by anaerobic rumen bacteria, fungi, or protozoa, though for some termites both microbial enzymes and termite enzymes are utilized for cellulose hydrolysis.

Since cellulose is mostly present in plant cell walls, which are very difficult to degrade, only a small fraction of all microorganisms that are specialized for plant cell wall degradation can hydrolyze cellulose [7]. Since bacteria and fungi are unable to engulf particles, these organisms need to secrete their cellulases and most anaerobic bacteria that produce cellulosomes attach them to their outer surface. Because of the recalcitrance of plant cell walls some cellulolytic microorganisms secrete up to 50% of their total protein during growth on biomass or cellulose.

Cellulases

There are several different mechanisms that are used by cellulolytic microorganisms to degrade cellulose, although cellulases are used in all of them [8]. Cellulases are the most diverse enzymes that catalyze a single reaction, which is hydrolysis of the β -1,4 linkage joining two glucose molecules in a cellulose molecule. There are at least eleven cellulase families based on the similarities of their amino acid sequence and structural studies of the different families show that cellulases have eight different protein folds [9]. The diversity of cellulases may result from the extreme diversity of their natural substrates, plant cell walls. Individual cellulases have very low activity on crystalline cellulose but they have a very high catalytic enhancement due to the very long half-life of crystalline cellulose. Cellulases are very different from most enzymes, as they degrade an insoluble substrate. This requires that the enzyme diffuses to the substrate and then it has to move a segment of a cellulose molecule from the insoluble particle into its active site, whereas soluble substrates diffuse to the enzyme and bind into the active site by themselves.

Almost all enzymes that degrade insoluble substrates contain a substrate binding domain, which is usually joined to the catalytic domain (cd) by a flexible linker peptide [10]. In the case of cellulases, where this type of domain was first discovered, the domain was originally called a cellulose binding domain and then the name was changed to carbohydrate binding module (CBM), so as to include the many other types of polysaccharide binding domains. It is clear that one role of the CBM is to bind the enzyme to the cellulose so that the cd spends less time away from the substrate and it also gives the cd time to move the chain into its active site before the enzyme diffuses away from the cellulose particle. It is still not clear whether the CBM also can modify cellulose or otherwise assist cellulose hydrolysis by the catalytic domain [11].

There are a number of forms of cellulose that are used to assay cellulases; carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) is a

2 Ecology and Industrial Microbiology

soluble form that is an excellent substrate for endocellulases and its hydrolysis does not require a CBM. Amorphous cellulose, which is produced by concentrated acid treatment of crystalline cellulose is a good substrate for most cellulases and its hydrolysis is usually not affected by the CBM. Crystalline cellulose (Avicel, bacterial cellulose or filter paper), which is the main form of cellulose in most plant cell walls, requires a CBM for effective hydrolysis [12,13].

Cellulases have nonlinear kinetics on polymeric substrates, which appear to be due to substrate heterogeneity but they show Michaelis–Menten kinetics on small soluble substrates [14]. Most cellulases are endocellulases, which have an open active site so they can bind and cleave a cellulose molecule at any accessible point along the chain [15]. Endocellulases bind randomly along a cellulose molecule, make a few cleavages and then dissociate from the chain, thus they rapidly decrease the viscosity of CMC. All exocellulases have their active site inside a tunnel and they bind only at one end of a cellulose chain. They cleave off cellobiose processively from the chain end [16]. Thus exocellulases have low activity on CMC and do not decrease its viscosity. They remain bound to a cellulose chain, processively cleaving cellobiose residues from the chain end until they dissociate. There are two types of exocellulases, one class attacks the reducing end of cellulose molecules while the other attacks the nonreducing end [17]. Finally there is a third class of cellulases, processive endoglucanases that so far are found only in bacteria. Most processive endoglucanases have a unique domain structure in which the C-terminus of a family 9 cd is rigidly attached to a family 3c CBM domain [18,19]. These enzymes carry out an initial endocellulolytic attack on a cellulose chain but then they processively attack the nonreducing end of the initially cleaved chain, releasing cellotetraose. Some family 5 processive endocellulases also have been identified in *Saccharophagus degradans*.

Cellulolytic mechanisms

Many aerobic microorganism use the free cellulase mechanism in which they secrete a set of individual cellulases, most of which contain a carbohydrate binding module (CBM) joined by a flexible linker to one end of the catalytic domain. The cellulases in the mixture act synergistically to degrade crystalline cellulose [20]. Cellulase synergism can result in increases in the specific activity of appropriate mixtures that are up to fifteen fold higher than that of any individual cellulase [21].

Many anaerobic microorganisms use cellulosomes, large multienzyme complexes (multimillion molecular weight), to degrade cellulose [22]. Only a few of the enzymes in cellulosomes contain a CBM, but the protein to which they are attached (called scaffoldin) does contain a CBM, which binds the complex to cellulose. In general

the cellulases produced by aerobic and anaerobic microorganisms belong to the same families, except that only aerobic fungi produce GH-7 cellulases and cellulosomes do not appear to contain family 6 exocellulases. A few anaerobic cellulolytic thermophilic bacteria such as *Caldicellulosiruptor* species secrete multidomain cellulases that contain CBMs. These organisms have a very effective plant cell wall degradation system that can hydrolyze untreated plant biomass unlike most other cellulolytic microorganisms [23].

Some aerobic fungi that degrade cellulose but not lignin, such as *Trichoderma reesei*, the source of most commercial cellulase, use the free enzyme mechanism while true brown rot fungi secrete both cellulases and peroxidases [24]. The peroxide and OH⁻ radicals produced by the peroxidases and iron partially oxidize the cellulose, making it much easier for the cellulases to degrade it. Therefore brown rot fungi are able to use a set of cellulases that lack both CBMs and processive cellulases, which are needed to degrade unmodified crystalline cellulose. Both CBMs and processive cellulases are produced by aerobic microorganisms that use the free cellulase mechanism and also by anaerobic microorganisms that produce cellulosomes. In fact, the brown rot fungus *Postia placenta* only secretes a single endoglucanase whereas free cellulolytic organisms secrete six or more cellulases and cellulosomes contain even more cellulases [25,26,27].

There are two cellulolytic bacteria, *Fibrobacter succinogenes* an anaerobe, that is a major cellulolytic rumen bacterium and *Cytophaga hutchinsonii*, an aerobic soil bacterium, whose genome sequences contain a number of cellulase genes most of which lack CBMs and all of which are endocellulases [28,8]. Furthermore, none of these cellulases have much activity on crystalline cellulose. Both of these organisms are tightly bound to cellulose during growth and neither one secretes free cellulases or produces cellulosomes. Thus these organisms appear to use a novel mechanism for cellulose hydrolysis, which has not been determined despite extensive studies of *F. succinogenes* [29]. This mechanism is very effective, as *F. succinogenes* grows faster on cellulose than most other studied microorganisms [30]. Relatives of *F. succinogenes* are widely distributed in nature and are the major cellulolytic bacteria found in termite metagenomic sequences [31]. As more bacterial genomes are sequenced more variations are found in the cellulase genes that they contain. *S. degradans* which has a very unusual set of cellulases, as most of them are from family 5 with a few from family 9 and both of these families contain only endocellulases. There is one family 6 cellulase but it also is an endocellulase [32]. Three of the family 5 cellulases appear to be a new type of processive endoglucanase in which processivity does not require an auxiliary domain but exactly how this organism degrades cellulose so well is not clear [33].

Recently several new proteins have been identified that function in cellulose hydrolysis. Most cellulolytic fungi contain multiple family GH-61 genes and a few of them encode proteins having weak cellulolytic activity [34^{*}]. A family GH-61 protein was shown to stimulate cellulose hydrolysis of pretreated biomass by crude *T. reesei* cellulase when magnesium ions were present but it did not stimulate hydrolysis of pure cellulose [34^{*}]. *Thermobifida fusca* produces and secretes large amounts of two family 33 CBM proteins when it is grown on cellulose. One contains only a family 33 domain while the other is a family 33 CBM joined to a family 2 CBM. Both of these proteins have been shown to bind to cellulose and chitin and they give a small stimulation of cellulose hydrolysis by *T. fusca* cellulases [35]. Another *T. reesei* protein that resembles expansin called swollenin has been identified and shown to loosen crystalline cellulose [36]. A *Bacillus subtilis* homolog was cloned and shown to significantly stimulate *T. reesei* cellulase activity [37].

The diversity of cellulolytic organisms in natural habitats and their degradation functions

The best studied cellulolytic environment is the rumen which is essentially a plant cell wall degrading chemostat where the animal pretreats biomass by grinding it into small particles which then are digested by a very dense and complex mixture of anaerobic microorganisms. There are about 10^{10} bacteria per ml in the rumen but only about 10% of them are cellulolytic [38^{*}] even though cellulose is the major carbon source available to rumen microorganisms. There are also some cellulolytic rumen fungi and protozoa but it is thought that bacteria are the major cellulose degraders. The microorganisms in the rumen that are bound to feed particles are quite different from those that are not attached and most cellulolytic microorganisms are attached to the particles [39]. However many attached microorganisms do not degrade cellulose. It is interesting that a metagenomic sequence of a leaf cutter ant colony garden contained many bacteria whose genes coding for carbohydrate degrading enzymes were most similar to those in the bovine rumen although there were clear differences between the two sets of enzymes [40].

Another cellulose degrading environment, which has been well studied is compost. The initial phase of composting occurs at moderate temperatures and it is carried out by both bacteria and fungi, then heating occurs and thermophilic bacteria dominate the community [41–43]. Cellulose degradation mainly occurs in the thermophilic phase. The community of microorganisms in compost is extremely diverse and very variable although mature compost tends to have simpler communities that are enriched in cellulolytic bacteria [43].

A serious problem in studying microbial ecology is that only a few percent of the microorganisms in most environments

can be cultured and the populations are very heterogeneous. It is interesting that the bacteria in anaerobic cellulolytic environments appear to be even more diverse than the bacteria in aerobic environments. It is assumed that plant cell wall degradation is dependent on many organisms that act synergistically but this has not been clearly shown. It is likely that some of the different polymers that are present in plant cell walls are degraded by different organisms. There are some surprising specificities seen in polymer utilization. *F. succinogenes* can hydrolyze many polysaccharides but only grow well on cellulose [44]. *Clostridium thermocellum* does not grow on xylose even though it degrades xylan well [45]. *T. fusca* cannot grow on xyloglucan even though it produces a very active xyloglucanase that completely hydrolyzes xyloglucan to soluble products [46]. This enzyme is induced by growth on cellulose and it contains a cellulose binding CBM so that it probably functions to remove xyloglucan that coats cellulose fibrils in primary plant cell walls. In fact it was shown that when bacterial cellulose was synthesized in the presence of xyloglucan, it was not hydrolyzed by a mixture of pure cellulases, unless the xyloglucanase was present [46]. In addition, although *T. fusca* can grow on either xylan or cellulose, it mainly hydrolyzes cellulose, when it is grown on biomass that contains both polymers. This is probably because the synthesis of the xylan degrading xylanases is inhibited by cellobiose, which is the inducer of all the cellulose degrading enzymes, which include a xylanase and a mannanase that each contain a cellulose binding CBM [47].

Another problem in understanding plant cell wall degradation is that we do not know the exact substrate for most organisms, as there may be several organisms that sequentially attack a given type of plant cell wall. One example of a stable mixed culture that degrades cellulose contains four organisms but only one can hydrolyze cellulose and the roles of the others are not directly linked to cellulose hydrolysis [48]. In addition to ruminants, hindgut fermenting animals like horses and humans contain cellulolytic microbes in their large intestines and even though these organisms are not as effective in cellulose degradation as those in ruminants, they do hydrolyze enough of the plant cell wall to provide some extra energy to their hosts [49^{*}].

Conclusion

Despite the exciting new findings from the application of genomic and metagenomic techniques to the study of cellulose degrading organisms. There is still much we do not understand about the mechanism of cellulose degradation and the microbial communities that carry it out. Because of the potential of biomass as a source of renewable fuels and chemicals, there has been a rapid increase in the amount of research in this area, so that it seems likely that our knowledge about these topics should continue to increase.

4 Ecology and Industrial Microbiology

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by The BioEnergy Science Center, a U.S. Department of Energy Bioenergy Research Center supported by the Office of Biological and Environmental Research in the DOE Office of Science.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- of outstanding interest

1. Malhi Y: **Carbon in the atmosphere and terrestrial biosphere in the 21st century.** *Philos Trans Series A: Math Phys Eng Sci* 2002, **360**:2925-2945.
2. Falkowski P, Scholes RJ, Boyle E, Canadell J, Canfield D, Elser J, Gruber N, Hibbard K, Hogberg P, Linder S *et al.*: **The global carbon cycle: a test of our knowledge of earth as a system.** *Science* 2000, **290**:291-296.
3. Watanabe H, Tokuda G: **Animal cellulases.** *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2001, **58**:1167-1178.
An excellent review.
4. Weimer PJ, Russell JB, Muck RE: **Lessons from the cow: what the ruminant animal can teach us about consolidated bioprocessing of biomass.** *Bioresour Technol* 2009, **100**:5323-5331.
5. Ohkuma M: **Termite symbiotic systems: efficient bio-recycling of lignocellulose.** *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2003, **61**:1-9.
6. Distel DL, Beaudoin DJ, Morrill W: **Coexistence of multiple proteobacterial endosymbionts in the gills of the wood-boring Bivalve *Lyrodus pedicellatus* (Bivalvia: Teredinidae).** *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2002, **68**:6292-6299.
7. Li LL, McCorkle SR, Monchy S, Taghavi S, van der Lelie D: **Bioprospecting metagenomes: glycosyl hydrolases for converting biomass.** *Biotechnol Biofuels* 2009, **18**:2-10.
8. Wilson DB: **Three microbial strategies for plant cell wall degradation.** *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2008, **1125**:289-297.
9. <http://www.cazy.org/Glycoside-Hydrolases.html>.
• This site list all known glycosyl hydrolases clustered into sequence related families.
10. Shoseyov O, Shani Z, Levy I: **Carbohydrate binding modules: biochemical properties and novel applications.** *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 2006, **70**:283-295.
An excellent review of CBMs.
11. Din N, Gilkes RN, Tekant B, Miller RC Jr, Warren RAJ, Kilburn DG: **Non-hydrolytic disruption of cellulose fibers by the binding domain of a bacterial cellulase.** *Bio/Technology* 1991, **9**:1096-1099.
12. Bhat MK, Bhat S: **Cellulose degrading enzymes and their potential industrial applications.** *Biotechnol Adv* 1997, **15**:583-620.
13. Rabinovich ML, Melnick MS, Bolobova AV: **The structure and mechanism of action of cellulolytic enzymes.** *Biochemistry (Mosc)* 2002, **67**:850-871.
14. Zhang S, Wolfgang D, Wilson DB: **Substrate heterogeneity causes the non-linear kinetics of insoluble cellulose hydrolysis.** *Biotechnol Bioeng* 1999, **66**:35-41.
15. Spezio M, Wilson DB, Karplus PA: **Crystal structure of the catalytic domain of a thermophilic endocellulase.** *Biochemistry* 1993, **32**:9906-9916.
16. Rouvinen J, Bergfors T, Teeri T, Knowles JK, Jones TA: **Three-dimensional structure of cellobiohydrolase II from *Trichoderma reesei*.** *Science* 1990, **249**:380-386.
17. Barr BK, Hsieh Y-L, Ganem B, Wilson DB: **Identification of two functionally different classes of exocellulases.** *Biochemistry* 1996, **35**:586-592.
18. Sakon J, Irwin D, Wilson DB, Karplus PA: **Structure and mechanism of endo/exocellulase E4 from *Thermomonospora fusca*.** *Nat Struct Biol* 1997, **4**:810-818.
19. Irwin D, Shin D-H, Zhang S, Barr BK, Sakon J, Karplus PA, Wilson DB: **Roles of the catalytic domain and two cellulose binding domains of *Thermomonospora fusca* E4 in cellulose hydrolysis.** *J Bacteriol* 1998, **180**:1709-1714.
20. Wilson DB: **Aerobic microbial cellulase systems.** In *Biomass Recalcitrance: Deconstructing the Plant Cell Wall for Bioenergy*. Edited by Himmel ME. Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishing; 2008: 374-392. (Chapter 11).
21. Irwin DC, Spezio M, Walker LP, Wilson DB: **Activity studies of eight purified cellulases: specificity, synergism, and binding domain effects.** *Biotechnol Bioeng* 1993, **42**:1002-1013.
22. Ding SY, Xu Q, Crowley M, Zeng Y, Nimlos M, Lamed R, Bayer EA, Himmel M: **A biophysical perspective on the cellulosome: new opportunities for biomass conversion.** *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 2008, **19**:218-227.
A current review of cellulosomes.
23. Blumer-Schuette SE, Lewis DL, Kelly RM: **Phylogenetic, microbiological, and glycoside hydrolase diversities within the extremely thermophilic, plant biomass-degrading genus *Caldicellulosiruptor*.** *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2010, **76**:8084-8092.
24. Martínez AT, Speranza M, Ruiz-Dueñas FJ, Ferreira P, Camarero S, Guillén F, Martínez MJ, Gutiérrez A, del Río JC: **Biodegradation of lignocellulosics: microbial, chemical, and enzymatic aspects of the fungal attack of lignin.** *Int Microbiol* 2005, **8**:195-204.
25. Martinez D, Challacombe J, Morgenstern I, Hibbett D, Schmoll M, Kubicek CP, Ferreira P, Ruiz-Duenas FJ, Martinez AT, Kersten P *et al.*: **Genome, transcriptome, and secretome analysis of wood decay fungus *Postia placenta* supports unique mechanisms of lignocellulose conversion.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2009, **106**:1954-1959.
A comprehensive study of gene expression of *Postia placenta* on biomass.
26. Wilson DB: **Studies of *Thermobifida fusca* plant cell wall degrading enzymes.** *Chem Rec* 2004, **4**:72-82.
27. Doi RH, Tamaru Y: **The *Clostridium cellulovorans* cellulosome: an enzyme complex with plant cell wall degrading activity.** *Chem Rec* 2001, **1**:24-32.
28. Xie G, Bruce DC, Challacombe JF, Chertkov O, Detter JC, Gilna P, Han CS, Lucas S, Misra M, Myers GL *et al.*: **Genome sequence of the cellulolytic gliding bacterium *Cytophaga hutchinsonii*.** *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2007, **73**:3536-3546.
29. Qi M, Jun HS, Forsberg CW: **Characterization and synergistic interactions of *Fibrobacter succinogenes* glycoside hydrolases.** *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2007, **73**:6098-6105.
30. Fields MW, Mallik S, Russell JB: ***Fibrobacter succinogenes* S85 ferments ball-milled cellulose as fast as cellobiose until cellulose surface area is limiting.** *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2000, **54**:570-574.
31. Warnecke F, Luginbühl P, Ivanova N, Ghasseman M, Richardson TH, Stege JT, Cayouette M, McHardy AC, Djordjevic G, Aboushadi N *et al.*: **Metagenomic and functional analysis of hindgut microbiota of a wood-feeding higher termite.** *Nature* 2007, **450**:560-565.
A set of termite interinaal metagenomic sequences.
32. Weiner RM, Taylor LE 2nd, Henrissat B, Hauser L, Land M, Coutinho PM, Rancurel C, Saunders EH, Longmire AG, Zhang H *et al.*: **Complete genome sequence of the complex carbohydrate-degrading marine bacterium, *Saccharophagus degradans* strain 2-40 T.** *PLoS Genet* 2008, **4**:e1000087.
33. Watson BJ, Zhang H, Longmire AG, Moon YH, Hutcheson SW: **Processive endoglucanases mediate degradation of cellulose by *Saccharophagus degradans*.** *J Bacteriol* 2009, **191**:5697-5705.
34. Harris PV, Welner D, McFarland KC, Re E, Navarro Poulsen JC, Brown K, Salbo R, Ding H, Vlasenko E, Merino S *et al.*: **Stimulation of lignocellulosic biomass hydrolysis by proteins of glycoside**

- hydrolase family 61: structure and function of a large, enigmatic family.** *Biochemistry* 2010, **49**:3305-3316.
This paper provides the first evidence that a family GH-61 protein can stimulate cellulose hydrolysis by a cellulase mixture
35. Moser F, Irwin D, Chen S, Wilson DB: **Regulation and characterization of *Thermobifida fusca* carbohydrate-binding module proteins E7 and E8.** *Biotechnol Bioeng* 2008, **100**:1066-1077.
 36. Saloheimo M, Paloheimo M, Hakola S, Pere J, Swanson B, Nyyssönen E, Bhatia A, Ward M, Penttilä M, Swollenin A: ***Trichoderma reesei* protein with sequence similarity to the plant expansins, exhibits disruption activity on cellulosic materials.** *Eur J Biochem* 2002, **269**:4202-4211.
 37. Kim ES, Lee HJ, Bang WG, Choi IG, Kim KH: **Functional characterization of a bacterial expansin from *Bacillus subtilis* for enhanced enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose.** *Biotechnol Bioeng* 2009, **102**:1342-1353.
 38. Russell JB, Muck RE, Weimer PJ: **Quantitative analysis of cellulose degradation and growth of cellulolytic bacteria in the rumen.** *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2009, **67**:183-197.
An excellent review of rumen bacteria.
 39. Brulc JM, Antonopoulos DA, Miller ME, Wilson MK, Yannarell AC, Dinsdale EA, Edwards RE, Frank ED, Emerson JB, Wacklin P *et al.*: **Gene-centric metagenomics of the fiber-adherent bovine rumen microbiome reveals forage specific glycoside hydrolases.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2009, **106**:1948-1953.
 40. Suen G, Scott JJ, Aylward FO, Adams SM, Tringe SG, Pinto-Tomás AA, Foster CE, Pauly M, Weimer PJ, Barry KW *et al.*: **An insect herbivore microbiome with high plant biomass-degrading capacity.** *PLoS Genet* 2010, **6**:e1001129.
 41. Hansgate AM, Schloss PD, Hay AG, Walker LP: **Molecular characterization of fungal community dynamics in the initial stages of composting.** *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2005, **51**:209-214.
 42. Schloss PD, Hay AG, Wilson DB, Gossett JM, Walker LP: **Quantifying bacterial population dynamics in compost using 16S rRNA gene probes.** *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2005, **66**:457-463.
 43. Székely AJ, Sipos R, Berta B, Vajna B, Hajdú C, Márialigeti K: **DGGE and T-RFLP analysis of bacterial succession during mushroom compost production and sequence-aided T-RFLP profile of mature compost.** *Microb Ecol* 2009, **57**:522-533.
 44. Kobayashi Y, Shinkai T, Koike S: **Ecological and physiological characterization shows that *Fibrobacter succinogenes* is important in rumen fiber digestion.** *Folia Microbiol (Praha)* 2008, **53**:195-200.
 45. Dror TW, Rolider A, Bayer EA, Lamed R, Shoham Y: **Regulation of major cellulosomal endoglucanases of *Clostridium thermocellum* differs from that of a prominent cellulosomal xylanase.** *J Bacteriol* 2005, **187**:2261-2266.
 46. McGrath CE, Wilson DB: **Characterization of a *Thermobifida fusca* beta-1,3-glucanase (Lam81A) with a potential role in plant biomass degradation.** *Biochemistry* 2006, **45**:14094-14100.
 47. Chen S, Wilson DB: **Proteomic and transcriptomic analysis of extracellular proteins and mRNA levels in *Thermobifida fusca* grown on cellobiose and glucose.** *J Bacteriol* 2007, **189**:6260-6265.
 48. Kato S, Haruta S, Cui ZJ, Ishii M, Igarashi Y: **Network relationships of bacteria in a stable mixed culture.** *Microb Ecol* 2008, **56**:403-411.
 49. Flint HJ, Bayer EA: **Plant cell wall breakdown by anaerobic microorganisms from the mammalian digestive tract.** *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2008, **1125**:280-288.
A current review of rumen cellulose degrading microorganisms.